This response is respectfully submitted in connection with the office action issued by the Examining Attorney on April 26, 2019 (the "Office Action") which suspended examination of Applicant's application for the mark MYBLUEPRINT in Class 009 (Serial No. 88/293,995) (the "Applicant Mark") pending the disposition of Blueprint Genetics OY LLC's prior application for BLUEPRINT GENETICS in Classes 009, 042, and 044 (Registration No. 5,772,042) (the "Cited Mark").

As an initial matter, Applicant first requests that Examining Attorney lift the suspension of examination of the Applicant Mark due to registration of the Cited Mark on June 11, 2019.

Applicant additionally requests that per the Examining Attorney's instructions from the office action issued against Applicant's companion application Serial No. 88/294,025 on April 26, 2019, Examining Attorney amend the Identification of Goods of the Applicant Mark by removing "diagnostic test kits for scientific use comprised of devices for collecting DNA samples in the nature of DNA collecting swabs, vials, sealable bags, collection envelopes and boxes and instruction manuals for using diagnostic test kits, all used for the purpose of genetic testing" from its companion application Serial No. 88/294,025 and moving it to its application for the Applicant Mark Serial No. 88/293,995.

It is well established that the task of assessing the similarity or dissimilarity of the marks must focus on the consideration of the marks in their entirety. See *Packard Press Inc. v. Hewlett Packard Comp.*, 227 F. 3d 1352 (2000). When viewed in their entireties, the appearance of the Applicant Mark is vastly different from the Cited Mark and accordingly, such distinguishing elements prevent the occurrence of consumer confusion. While there are often terms in marks that are more dominant and thus, more significant to the assessment of similarity, the law precludes the dissection of marks. *Star Industries v. Bacardi & Company, Limited, Bacardi USA*

and Anheuser-Busch, Inc. 412 F.3d 373 (2d Cir. 2005). In reviewing the situation at bar, the Examining Attorney's citation of a potential likelihood of confusion refusal is clearly predicated on the fact that both marks share the term "BLUEPRINT" however, when viewed as a whole, the Applicant Mark is vastly different from the Cited Mark.

Moreover, Applicant's Mark is a unitary mark without meaning and it is improper to separate a unitary mark. The two marks, when viewed side by side (MYBLUEPRINT vs. BLUEPRINT GENETICS), clearly do not look or sound alike. While the Applicant Mark contains the term "BLUEPRINT", such term is only a portion of the Applicant Mark, and is the second half of a one-word mark. The Cited Mark, on the other hand, contains the term "BLUEPRINT", but such term is the first word contained in a two-word mark. The first half of the Applicant Mark, "MY", and second word of the Cited Mark, "GENETICS", are two entirely different words that do not look or sound alike, and also have completely unrelated meanings. "MY" consists of one syllable, and is a pronoun defined as "belonging to or associated with the speaker". "GENETICS", on the other hand, consists of three syllables and when following the word "BLUEPRINT" has a completely different connotation. No consumer could reasonably confuse the two terms given their distinct and unrelated meanings. Moreover, not only do "MY" and "GENETICS" have different meanings, but viewing the marks MYBLUEPRINT and BLUEPRINT GENETICS as a whole, it is clear that they evoke different commercial impressions.

Although as stated above, Applicant believes that the marks are to be compared in their entireties, it has been held that "in articulating reasons for reaching a conclusion on the issue of confusion, there is nothing improper in stating that, for rational reasons, more or less weight has been given to a particular feature of a mark, provided the ultimate conclusion rests on

2

consideration of the marks in their entireties." In re Nat'l Data Corp. 753 F.2d 1056 (Fed Cir. 1985). In issuing the Office Action, the Examining Attorney is clearly focused on the shared term of the marks, "BLUEPRINT", however, Applicant respectfully believes this focus is misplaced. It has been held that "where the mark is a composite of a weak common part and modifying phrase, the court holds that the common portion of the composite mark is to be given less weight on the rationale that the public will look to other portions of the marks and will not be confused unless the other portions are similar" Continental Grain Company v. Central Soya Company Inc. 69 F.3d 555 (Fed. Cir. 1995). In Continental Grain the court held that "where the common element of conflicting marks is 'weak' in the sense that such portion is descriptive, highly suggestive, or is in common use by many sellers in the market, then this reduces the likelihood of confusion" and accordingly ruled that there was no likelihood of confusion between HI PEAK and PEAK DARI. The case at bar is analogous to that of Continental Grain, as the shared term "BLUEPRINT" is commonly used in relation to science and technology-related goods and/or services. The following good and/or services which are also shown in Exhibit A all contain "BLUEPRINT" for genetics and other science-related goods and services, and upon belief are not from the same source as the Cited Mark: https://www.nutrisystem.com/shop/dnabody-

blueprint/index.jsp?gclid=EAIaIQobChMI8Nef0Jbl4QIVlshkCh1AogyaEAAYASAAEgJy-

<u>vD BwE</u>; <u>https://geneblueprint.com/</u>; <u>https://www.bcm.edu/research/medical-genetics-labs/test_detail.cfm?testcode=1390</u>. Due to the widespread use of "BLUEPRINT" in connection with science and technology-related goods and services, the likelihood of confusion is reduced. Accordingly, consistent with *Continental Grain* precedent, the term "BLUEPRINT" should be given less weight, as consumers will focus on other portions of the marks, "MY" and

3

"GENETICS". As detailed herein, Applicant asserts that such remaining portions are sufficiently distinct to avoid a likelihood of confusion amongst consumers.

Applicant additionally notes that the term "BLUEPRINT" is not a highly distinctive element. More than thirty (30) different marks are registered in Class 009 which include the term "BLUEPRINT", plus additional words and/or symbols, and peacefully co-exist, including the following:

Reg. Number	Mark
4397356	BLUEPRINT
4881590	BLUEPRINT
5541187	BLUEPRINT
5694311	BLUEPRINT
4803783	BLUEPRINT
4728536	BLUEPRINT
4342911	BLUEPRINT
5874181	CRYPTOCURRENCY INVESTING BLUEPRINT
5819688	BLUEPRINT REGISTRY
5688088	INFORMATION ARCHITECTURE BLUEPRINT
5716089	INTRAPRISE BLUEPRINTS
5283946	TRANSFER PRICING BLUEPRINT
5531846	BLUEPRINT LINK
5472485	(IOSH) BLUEPRINT
5236829	YOUR SOULMATE BLUEPRINT
4555011	BLUEPRINT CONTROLLERS
5492644	E&P BLUEPRINT
4509860	BLUEPRINT RF MANAGED NETWORK SERVICES
4961754	THE BLUEPRINT FOR BRINGING PEACE TO THE HOME
4953121	INNOVATING YOUR HEALTH BLUEPRINT
4817098	NERGY BLUEPRINT
4728537	NERGY BLUEPRINT
4650691	EVENT PLANNING BLUEPRINT
4616350	THE COACHING BLUEPRINT
4345167	MILLION DOLLAR MANAGED SERVICES MARKETING BLUEPRINT
4095882	FEMALE ORGASM BLUEPRINT
4463805	BLUEPRINT FOR PLAY
4487058	GIFTS ALTA BLUEPRINT
4496890	GIFTS ONLINE BLUEPRINT
4471979	VALUE BLUEPRINT
5772042	BLUEPRINT GENETICS

The term "BLUEPRINT" is commonly used in connection with science and technologyrelated goods and/or services, including software, mobile applications and other digital goods, and therefore as *Continental Grain* pointed out, consumers will automatically look to other portions of the mark, in the case at bar, "GENETICS" and "MY" to distinguish between the marks. Any argument that the Cited Mark has the exclusive rights to the term "BLUEPRINT" in connection with genetic testing and diagnosis and related goods and/or services is precluded by a search of the registry which, as shown above, is littered with other marks containing "BLUEPRINT" and/or variations thereof in Class 009, as well as the numerous common law uses containing "BLUEPRINT" and/or variations thereof.

Examining Attorney's finding of a likelihood of confusion predicated on a position that the goods and/or services are identical and/or substantially similar is likewise misplaced. Applicant respectfully submits that the mere fact that both identifications in Class 009 include genetic testing related goods and/or services does not make the goods and/or services identical and/or substantially similar. While the Cited Mark identifies "science software for scientific analysis or genetic sequences" and "software for use in genetic testing and diagnosis", the Applicant Mark identifies kits for use in genetic identity testing. A kit, which is a physical item and in Applicant's case contains tubes, swabs, vials, sealable bags, boxes, collection envelopes, and instruction manuals, is vastly different from software, which is a program used by a computer. Applicant notes that there are five (5) registrations for the mark "BLUEPRINT" in Class 009 which identify software-related goods and/or services, which, despite the fact that the Cited Mark makes no claim to the exclusive right to use "GENETICS", peacefully co-exist with the Cited Mark. Surely, if BLUEPRINT GENETICS for software in the nature of "science software for scientific analysis or genetic sequences" and (IOSH) BLUEPRINT and Design for software in the nature of "software for use in the occupational safety and health field to conduct self-assessments of occupational safety and health professionals...." can co-exist, there is no likelihood of confusion between BLUEPRINT GENETICS for software in the nature of science software for scientific analysis or genetic sequences and MYBLUEPRINT for kits for use in genetic identity testing, such that the two cannot co-exist.

Based on the foregoing, Applicant respectfully submits that Applicant Mark is vastly different from the Cited Mark in appearance, sound, connotation, meaning, and commercial impression and that registration of MYBLUEPRINT will not result in a likelihood of confusion with the Cited Mark. Accordingly, Applicant kindly requests that the Examining Attorney reconsiders its position on the potential likelihood of confusion and that the Applicant Mark proceed towards registration.

EXHIBIT A



PLUS! Get a FREE Personalized Nutrition Plan based on your DNA

GET IT NOW



X

Find the right nutritional approach for you—straight from your DNA!

Our easy and secure DNA test reveals what type of foods your body thrives on, and your FREE Personalized Nutrition Plan maps out your optimal nutrition. You'll discover...



nd

How Your Metabolism Affects Your Weight Loss—And What To Do About It



The Right Balance Of Nutrients For You—No More Guesswork Needed!



Your Fat Loss Fitness Plan, And How To Optimize Your Workouts





The Science

Register Kit

Login

Personalized Health & Wellness Programs

Achieve your goals faster, more efficiently and with longer lasting results.

How It Works

It's simple. Order your DNA test, provide sample, mail it back. That's it. We'll take care of the rest. We provide a life time subscription to the

GeneBlueprint | GeneBlueprint - Discover your personal fitness & nutrition

GeneBlueprint web portal where you have access to 20+ genetic prediction scores, fitness and nutrition blueprint.



Step 1: Order test from an authorized GeneBlueprint partner

We will ship a saliva DNA collection kit to you. It will take less than 10 minutes to complete the online registration and sample collection. Ship back your sample using the pre-paid shipping package.



Step 2: DNA analysis

Once we receive your sample we will detect 10 million genetic variants using state-of-the-art technology.

Step 3: Review genetic data

Utilizing the 10 million variants from step 2, we will compute genetic prediction scores for 20+ unique traits.



Step 4: Create fitness and nutrition blueprint

Based on your genetic prediction scores, we will create personalized fitness and nutrition plans



Medical Genetics Test Details

			View Custom Reg	Tests in Custom Req: 0	
Search Tests:	: (Search	by disease, test nar	ne, gene name, test code, or keyword. Return to test index.)		
		Search	Browse: #ABCDEFGHIJKLMNOPQRSTUVWXYZ		
System (HCPCS equirements ba illing. Baylor Ge r other payer be	5) codes l sed upor enetics st eing bille	listed, are provided for AMA guidelines pul frongly recommends d, as requirements m	rrent Procedural Terminology (CPT) codes and Healthcare Common or informational purposes only. The codes reflect our interpretation blished annually. CPT/HCPCS codes are provided only as guidance that clients confirm CPT/HCPCS codes with their Medicare Admini- nay differ. CPT coding is the sole responsibility of the billing party. B ce on the CPT codes listed. Please direct any questions regarding o	of CPT/HCPCS coding to assist clients with strative Contractor (MAC) aylor Genetics assumes	
			Print Req		
Total BlueP	rint Pa	nel			
Test Information:	The Total Blueprint Panel is a test comprised of approximately 4,800 known Mendelian disease causing genes. This test focuses on the regions of the genes that contain important sequences of DNA that serve as the blueprint for essential proteins important for proper body function. These regions of DNA are referred to as exons. It is known that most of the errors that occur in DNA sequences that then lead to genetic disorders are located in the exons. In contrast to other sequencing tests that analyze anywhere from one gene to hundreds of genes, yet could still miss the culprit gene, the Total Blueprint Panel will analyze all the exonic regions of the 4,800 or so genes at one time in order to identify the rare changes in an individual's DNA that are contributing to their medical concerns. For more information on specific coverage, click here: Total BluePrint Panel gene list and coverage information				
Test Details					
Test Code:	1390				
Special Notes:	Parental samples are requested, if available, to interpret proband Total BluePrint Panel results by targeted Sanger sequencing. See requisition for sample requirements and further details.				
Technical Info	ormation				
Methodology:	Next G	eneration Sequencir	ng		
Sample & Shi	ipping In	formation			
Test Requi	sition: T	otal BluePrint			
Specimen Requiren	nents: [TA (purple-top) tube(s). Send at least 5cc (children) or 10cc		
Shipping Cond	itions: S	Ship at room temper	ature in an insulated container by overnight courier. Do not ple must arrive within 72 hrs.		
-	ments: C		ollect.Dx (OCD-100) self-collection kit (provided by Baylor ctions). It is highly recommended the sample be collected by a nal.		
tos://www.hom.ed	lu/rosoarc	h/modical constics lab	s/test_detail.cfm?testcode=1390	1/	

10/10/2019	Medical Genetics Test Details - Baylor Genetics Laboratories - Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, Texas		
Shipping Conditions:	Ship at room temperature in an insulated container by overnight courier. Do not heat or freeze. Sample must arrive within 72 hrs.		
Specimen Type:	: Cultured Skin Fibroblast		
Requirements:	Send 2 T25 flasks at 80-100% confluence.		
Shipping Conditions:	: Ship at room temperature in an insulated container by overnight courier. Do not heat or freeze.		
Specimen Type:	Purified DNA		
Requirements:	Send at least 20ug of purified DNA (minimal concentration of 50 ng/ul; A260/A280 of ~1.7).		
Shipping Conditions:	Ship at room temperature in an insulated container by overnight courier. Do not heat or freeze.		
Turn Around Time:	8 weeks		
Billing Information			
List Price:	*For Insurance or Institutional Prices, please call.		
CPT Codes:	81404x4, 81405x1, 81406x7, 81407x1, 81408x2		

Return to test index

©2003 - 2019 Baylor College of Medicine

Baylor Genetics Laboratories

Address: 2450 Holcombe - Grand Blvd Dock, Houston, Texas 77021-2024 Mail: One Baylor Plaza, Mail Stop NAB 2015, Houston, Texas 77030 Phone: 1-800-411-GENE (4363) | Fax: 1-800-434-9850 Contact: Baylor Genetics Laboratories