IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Jesse Nelman
Examining Attorney
Law Office 113
United States Patent and Trademark Office

RE: Serial No.:   88/365,595
        Mark: ROMER
        Applicant: Romer LLC
        Office Action of: June 12, 2019

APPLICANT'S RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

The following is the response of Romer LLC ("Applicant"), by counsel, to the above referenced Office Action dated June 12, 2019.

I.   SECTION 2(D) REFUSAL – LIKELIHOOD OF CONFUSION

The Examining Attorney has refused registration of the proposed mark pursuant to Trademark Act Section 2(d), 15 U.S.C. § 1052(d), on the ground that the mark is likely to be confused with the mark ROMAR in Registration No. 3,335,833.

Applicant respectfully encloses herewith a copy of a Trademark Coexistence Agreement by and between Registrant, Quimi Romar, S.L., owner of U.S. Registration No. 3,335,833 and Applicant, Romer LLC. *See* Exhibit A. Both parties have consented to the use and registration of the respective marks. After carefully considering the matter and their respective business interests, the parties agree that there will be no likelihood of confusion or conflict between their respective marks. As Applicant and the cited Registrant have entered into the attached Trademark Coexistence Agreement, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examining Attorney withdraw the refusal to register under Trademark Act Section 2(d) and publish the subject mark for opposition.

II.   SECTION 2(e)(4) REFUSAL – PRIMARILY MERELY A SURNAME

The Examining Attorney has refused registration of Applicant's ROMER mark under Trademark Act Section 2(e)(4), 15 U.S.C. Section 1052(e)(4), on the grounds that Applicant's

mark is allegedly primarily merely a surname. Applicant respectfully disagrees and requests that the objection be withdrawn.

"The purpose of inserting the words 'primarily merely a surname' in the 1946 Lanham Act was to liberalize previous prohibitions on registration of surnames." 2 MCCARTHY ON TRADEMARKS §13:27 (4th Ed.). Thus, it is not enough for the Examining Attorney to show that the proposed mark is the surname of one or more individuals. Rather, in order to demonstrate that a mark is "primarily merely a surname," the Examining Attorney bears the burden of showing that "the *primary* significance of the mark as a whole to the purchasing public" is as a surname. *In re Hutchinson Technology Incorporated*, 852 F.2d 552, 553 (Fed. Cir. 1988) (emphasis added); *see also In re Kahan & Weisz Jewelry Mfg. Corp.*, 508 F.2d 831, 832 (C.C.P.A. 1975); Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure ("TMEP") § 1211.01. As noted in the Office Action, the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board") sets forth a five-factor analysis, four of which are relevant here,[1] to determine whether a proposed mark is primarily merely a surname: (1) the degree of the surname's "rareness"; (2) whether anyone connected with the applicant has the involved term as a surname; (3) whether the mark has any recognized meaning other than as a surname; and (4) whether the mark has the "look and sound" of a surname. *In re Benthin Management GmbH*, 37 USPQ2d 1332, 1335 (TTAB 1995). Applicant respectfully submits that for the reasons discussed below, it has not been shown that the mark's primary significance to the purchasing public is primarily merely as a surname.

A.   **The Surname "Romer" Is Rare**

When a surname is so rare that the purchasing public would not view the term as that of a surname, the mark is arbitrary or fanciful, and thus registrable on the Principal Register. *See In re Sava Research Corp.*, 32 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1380 (TTAB 1994). Here, ROMER is such a rare surname that it should be registrable on the Principal Register.

In support of the Office Action, the Examiner attached a printout from the WHITEPAGES® surname database indicating that the surname "Romer" appears in the database 71,014 times. However, this evidence details only the first page of the results, some of which are duplicative listings. In other words, not all of the results are unique and separate listings. From

---

[1] The fifth factor, whether the manner in which the mark is displayed might negate any surname significance, is not relevant here because Applicant applied to register the mark ROMER in standard character form. *See*, *In re The Hyman Companies Inc.*, 2014 WL 2967637 at *FN2 (TTAB June 4, 2014).

the evidence submitted, Applicant cannot tell what the remaining results are, whether they contain similar duplicate listings, or whether those results are otherwise questionable. Therefore the number 71,014 is not accurate and the cited evidence is not fully reliable to support that premise.

Applicant notes that according to the U.S. Census Bureau's last census, "Romer" was not one of the top 1000 last names in the U.S. Even if there are indeed 71,041 Americans with the last name of "Romer," this total is out of a population of 327.2 million, which shows that the surname is exceedingly rare (this is just 0.021% of the population). *See* Excerpts from U.S. Census Bureau statistics, available via https://www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-01.pdf and https://www.census.gov/topics/population/genealogy/data/2010_surnames.html, attached hereto as Exhibit B. These government statistics demonstrate that far from being a commonly recognized surname like "Smith" or "Johnson," "Romer" is an uncommon surname in the United States. Therefore, it cannot be said that the *primary* significance of the ROMER mark is that of a surname.

B.   No Officials Connected with Applicant Have the Surname "Romer"

Whether or not the mark sought to be registered is the name of someone associated with the Applicant is another factor the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board looks at when considering whether a mark is primarily merely a surname. In *In re Sava Research Corp.*, 32 USPQ 2d 1380 (T.T.A.B. 1994), the Board reversed a refusal on the grounds of the mark being primarily merely a surname. The Board based its decision on three factors, one of which was the absence of any evidence that the mark was the surname of any person associated with the Applicant. *See also In re BDH Two Inc.*, 26 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1558 ("We also note that there is no evidence indicating that any individual associated with applicant bears the surname [of the mark]").

Applicant hereby asserts that ROMER is not the surname of any person associated with Applicant, Romer LLC or any of its members. As such, this factor weighs in favor of Applicant showing that the mark is not primarily merely a surname.

C.   Applicant's Mark Does Not Include Any Surname Indicators

Next, Applicant's mark is not linked with any traditional surname indicators. Thus, the purchasing public will not view the mark primarily as merely a surname. *See In re Etablissements Darty et Fils,* 795 F. 2d 15 (Fed. Cir. 1985). Unlike other marks that have been found to be primarily merely a surname, Applicant's ROMER mark is not followed by an

apostrophe "s" or "and Sons," which would indicate surname status, nor does the term follow a first name or an initial or the standard surname indicators of "Mr." or "Mrs.," which can enhance the surname significance of a term. *See Id.; see also* TMEP §1211.01(b)(iv); *In re Taverniti, SARL,* 225 U.S.P.Q. 1263 (TTAB 1985). Consequently, the purchasing public will not view Applicant's Mark primarily as a surname.

D. **Applicant's Mark Should Be Registered Despite Having No Dictionary Definition**

In the Office Action, the Examiner argues that the applied-for mark has no recognized meaning or significance other than as a surname because the evidence of record indicates that it does not appear in the dictionary.

However, as shown in Exhibit C, "Romer" can mean and refer to "a small piece of plastic or card bearing perpendicularly aligned scales or (if transparent) a grid, used to determine the precise reference of a point within the grid printed on a map," or a "German wineglass having a body with a globular top and a cylindrical bottom often decorated with prunts, supported by a conical foot."

If a mark possesses potential meaning beyond the mere surname meaning, then the mark will be granted registration. See *Fisher Radio Corp. v. Bird Electronic Corp.* 162 U.S.P.Q. 265 (TTAB 1969) (BIRD and picture of bird held not primarily merely a surname even though the name of the applicant's president is Bird); *see also In re BDH Two, Inc.,* 26 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1556 (TTAB 1993); *In re Monotype Corp. PLC,* 14 U.S.P.Q. 2d 1970 (TTAB 1980). That is the case here, therefore, the objection should be withdrawn and the application should be allowed.

Moreover, even the absence of a defined "dictionary" meaning would not automatically mean that the ROMER mark would be perceived as primarily merely a surname. Indeed, the fact that a word mark has no meaning in the dictionary does not preclude it from being a trademark, especially where the word is very rarely used as a surname. For certain rare surnames, "even in the absence of non-surname significance, a reasonable application of the 'primary significance to the purchasing public' test could result in finding that the surname, when used as a mark, would be perceived as arbitrary or fanciful." TMEP § 1211.01(a)(vi); *see also In re Joint-Stock Co. "Baik,"* 84 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1923 (finding lack of other recognized meaning does not, in itself, imbue a mark with the "look and feel" of a surname).

E.   Any Doubts Should Be Resolved In Favor Of Applicant.

Finally, the USPTO has routinely stated that any doubts as to whether a mark would be perceived as primarily merely a surname should be resolved in favor of the applicant. *In re Isabella Fiore, LLC*, 75 U.S.P.Q.2d 1564, 2005 WL 1787224 at *7 (T.T.A.B. 2005) ("Our case law holds that if we have doubts about whether the term is a surname, we resolve them in favor of the applicant and for publication of the mark."); *In re United Distillers plc, supra.*; *In re Benthin Management GmbH*, 37 U.S.P.Q.2d 1332 (TTAB 1995); *In Re J. J. Yeley*, 85 U.S.P.Q.2d 1150 (T.T.A.B. 2007).

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw their refusal under Section 2(e)(4) and approve Applicant's mark for publication on the Principal Register for this reason and those set forth above.

Exhibit A

CO-EXISTENCE AGREEMENT

This Co-Existence Agreement ("Agreement") is made and entered into and effective as of the date of the last signature to this Agreement ("Effective Date") by and between Romer LLC, a Delaware limited liability company having an address of 1201 North La Salle Drive, Suite 3105, Chicago, Illinois 60610 ("Romer"), and Quimi Romar, S.L., a Spanish corporation having an address of Ctra. Moncada-Náquera, km.6 Moncada Spain E-46113 ("Romar").

WHEREAS, Romar is the owner of the U.S. Trademark Registration Number 3,335,833 for the mark "ROMAR" in international class 3 for "hair shampoos, skin soaps and skin soap cremes, bath gels, upholstery shampoo; laundry cleaners and softeners; floor washes" (the "Romar Goods") as further described in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the "PTO") records (the "ROMAR Mark");

WHEREAS, Romer has applied to register the mark "ROMER," U.S. Trademark Application Serial No. 88/365,595 (the "ROMER Application") in international class 3 for "cosmetics; make-up; non-medicated skin toners, lotions, and cleansers; beauty lotions and masks; non-medicated face lotions, and cleansers; non-medicated face, body, and skin masks; body lotions, sun care lotions; hair shampoo, hair conditioners, hair care preparations; hair styling sprays, gels and oils; non-medicated skin care preparation, namely, skin, and face mists, and spritzers; non-medicated bath salts, and gels; pre-moistened cosmetic wipes; non-medicated dental wipes" as further described in the PTO records (the "Romer Goods");

WHEREAS, the ROMAR Mark has been cited by the PTO, pursuant to Trademark Act Section 2(d), against the ROMER Application;

WHEREAS, the parties believe that there is no likelihood of confusion arising from the contemporaneous use of their respective marks in connection with their respective goods in the manner set forth below;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises, releases and covenants hereinafter set forth, the parties agree as follows:

1.   Romar consents to the ROMER Application and Romer's use of the mark ROMER in connection with the Romer Goods.

2.   Romar agrees not to challenge Romer's use of, application to register, or registration for the mark ROMER for the Romer Goods only. However, Romar gives no consent to Romer to use, file an application for, or register the ROMER mark for the goods of "upholstery shampoo; laundry cleaners and softeners; floor washes."

3.   Romer consents to the use and registration of the ROMAR mark by Romar in connection with the Romar Goods.

4.   Romer agrees not to challenge Romar's use of, application to register, or registration for the mark ROMAR for the Romar Goods.

5.   The parties agree that no likelihood of confusion between their respective marks exists because of differences in the marks, goods, markets, distribution channels, and consumers.

6.   The parties agree that in the unlikely event that any instances of confusion, mistake, or deception do occur, the parties will work together in good faith to take all reasonable steps necessary to eliminate confusion, mistake or deception, and take all commercially reasonable steps to avoid future instances of the same.

7.   This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof and shall supersede all other prior agreements or understandings with respect to the subject matter. No representation or warranties have been made by either party to the other, or by anyone else, except as expressly set forth in this Agreement, and this Agreement is not being executed in reliance on any representation or warranty other than those expressly set forth herein. This Agreement may be modified only in writing signed by the duly authorized representatives of both parties.

8.   The parties agree to undertake any such other acts, and shall execute, acknowledge and/or deliver any such other instruments, documents, and other materials, as may be reasonably required in order to consummate the agreement described in this Agreement.

9.   This Agreement shall be binding upon and shall inure to the benefit of the parties, their successors, assigns, subsidiaries, licensees, affiliated companies, and all those in active concert or participation with them.

10.   Any provision of this Agreement that is prohibited or unenforceable in any jurisdiction shall, as to such jurisdiction, be ineffective to the extent of such prohibition or unenforceability without invalidating the remaining provisions hereof, and any such prohibition or unenforceability in any jurisdiction shall not invalidate or render unenforceable such provision in any other jurisdiction.

11.   This Agreement shall be governed by, and construed and enforced in accordance with, the laws of the state of New York and the federal trademark laws of the United States, without regard to their principles of conflicts of laws. This Agreement shall be effective worldwide.

12.   This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, each of which shall be an original, and all of which, taken together, shall constitute one and the same instrument. Facsimile signatures will have the same force and effect as original signatures.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, each of the parties hereto has caused this Agreement to be executed by a duly authorized officer.

ACCEPTED AND AGREED:

ROMER LLC

By: _Lauren Rome_

Name: _Lauren Rome_

Title: _Founder & CEO_

Date: _8/18/19_

QUIMI ROMAR, S.L.

By: _____

Name: _Luis Gil_

Title: _Apoderado_

Date: _8/28/19_

3

Exhibit B

Population Distribution and Change: 2000 to 2010

2010 Census Briefs

By
Paul Mackun
and
Steven Wilson

(With Thomas Fischetti
and Justyna Goworowska)

INTRODUCTION

The 2010 Census reported 308.7 million people in the United States, a 9.7 percent increase from the Census 2000 population of 281.4 million. This report discusses population change between 2000 and 2010 for several geographic levels, including regions, states, metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas, counties, and places.

NATIONAL AND REGIONAL CHANGE

The increase of 9.7 percent over the last decade was lower than the 13.2 percent increase for the 1990s and comparable to the growth during the 1980s of 9.8 percent (Figure 1). Since 1900, only the 1930s experienced a lower growth rate (7.3 percent) than this past decade.[1]

From 2000 to 2010, regional growth was much faster for the South and West (14.3 and 13.8 percent, respectively) than for the Midwest (3.9 percent) and Northeast (3.2 percent)

[1] References to historical data in the report are based on the Census 2000 PHC-T series <www.census.gov/population/www/cen2000/briefs/tablist.html>; Frank Hobbs and Nicole Stoops, *Demographic Trends in the 20th Century*, Census 2000 Special Reports, CENSR-4, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 2002; and Richard L. Forstall, *Population of States and Counties of the United States: 1790 to 1990*, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 1996. National historical data calculations before 1960 include Alaska and Hawaii.

Figure 1.
U.S. Population Change: 1950–1960 to 2000–2010
(For more information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see *www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf*)

Note: Change for 1950–1960 includes the populations of Alaska and Hawaii in the U.S. total, although they were not U.S. states at the time of the 1950 census.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census; Census 2000; Frank Hobbs and Nicole Stoops, *Demographic Trends in the 20th Century*, Census 2000 Special Reports, CENSR-4, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 2002; and Richard L. Forstall, *Population of States and Counties of the United States: 1790 to 1990*, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC, 1996.

(Table 1, Figure 2). The South grew by 14.3 million over the decade to 114.6 million people, while the West increased by 8.7 million to reach 71.9 million people—surpassing the population of the Midwest. The Midwest gained 2.5 million, increasing that region's population to 66.9 million, and the Northeast's gain of 1.7 million brought that region's

Table 1.
Population Change for the United States, Regions, States, and Puerto Rico: 2000 to 2010

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see *www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf*)

Area	Population		Change	
	2000	2010	Number	Percent
United States	**281,421,906**	**308,745,538**	**27,323,632**	**9.7**
REGION				
Northeast	53,594,378	55,317,240	1,722,862	3.2
Midwest	64,392,776	66,927,001	2,534,225	3.9
South	100,236,820	114,555,744	14,318,924	14.3
West	63,197,932	71,945,553	8,747,621	13.8
STATE				
Alabama	4,447,100	4,779,736	332,636	7.5
Alaska	626,932	710,231	83,299	13.3
Arizona	5,130,632	6,392,017	1,261,385	24.6
Arkansas	2,673,400	2,915,918	242,518	9.1
California	33,871,648	37,253,956	3,382,308	10.0
Colorado	4,301,261	5,029,196	727,935	16.9
Connecticut	3,405,565	3,574,097	168,532	4.9
Delaware	783,600	897,934	114,334	14.6
District of Columbia	572,059	601,723	29,664	5.2
Florida	15,982,378	18,801,310	2,818,932	17.6
Georgia	8,186,453	9,687,653	1,501,200	18.3
Hawaii	1,211,537	1,360,301	148,764	12.3
Idaho	1,293,953	1,567,582	273,629	21.1
Illinois	12,419,293	12,830,632	411,339	3.3
Indiana	6,080,485	6,483,802	403,317	6.6
Iowa	2,926,324	3,046,355	120,031	4.1
Kansas	2,688,418	2,853,118	164,700	6.1
Kentucky	4,041,769	4,339,367	297,598	7.4
Louisiana	4,468,976	4,533,372	64,396	1.4
Maine	1,274,923	1,328,361	53,438	4.2
Maryland	5,296,486	5,773,552	477,066	9.0
Massachusetts	6,349,097	6,547,629	198,532	3.1
Michigan	9,938,444	9,883,640	−54,804	−0.6
Minnesota	4,919,479	5,303,925	384,446	7.8
Mississippi	2,844,658	2,967,297	122,639	4.3
Missouri	5,595,211	5,988,927	393,716	7.0
Montana	902,195	989,415	87,220	9.7
Nebraska	1,711,263	1,826,341	115,078	6.7
Nevada	1,998,257	2,700,551	702,294	35.1
New Hampshire	1,235,786	1,316,470	80,684	6.5
New Jersey	8,414,350	8,791,894	377,544	4.5
New Mexico	1,819,046	2,059,179	240,133	13.2
New York	18,976,457	19,378,102	401,645	2.1
North Carolina	8,049,313	9,535,483	1,486,170	18.5
North Dakota	642,200	672,591	30,391	4.7
Ohio	11,353,140	11,536,504	183,364	1.6
Oklahoma	3,450,654	3,751,351	300,697	8.7
Oregon	3,421,399	3,831,074	409,675	12.0
Pennsylvania	12,281,054	12,702,379	421,325	3.4
Rhode Island	1,048,319	1,052,567	4,248	0.4
South Carolina	4,012,012	4,625,364	613,352	15.3
South Dakota	754,844	814,180	59,336	7.9
Tennessee	5,689,283	6,346,105	656,822	11.5
Texas	20,851,820	25,145,561	4,293,741	20.6
Utah	2,233,169	2,763,885	530,716	23.8
Vermont	608,827	625,741	16,914	2.8
Virginia	7,078,515	8,001,024	922,509	13.0
Washington	5,894,121	6,724,540	830,419	14.1
West Virginia	1,808,344	1,852,994	44,650	2.5
Wisconsin	5,363,675	5,686,986	323,311	6.0
Wyoming	493,782	563,626	69,844	14.1
Puerto Rico	**3,808,610**	**3,725,789**	**−82,821**	**−2.2**

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and Census 2000.

population to 55.3 million. Overall, the South and West accounted for 84.4 percent of the U.S. population increase from 2000 to 2010, an increase from their 77.0 percent share of the total change from 1990 to 2000.

STATE-LEVEL CHANGE

Nevada was the fastest-growing state between 2000 and 2010, growing by 35.1 percent (Table 1). It was followed by Arizona (24.6 percent), Utah (23.8 percent), Idaho (21.1 percent), and Texas (20.6 percent). Rhode Island, Louisiana, and Ohio were the slowest-increasing states, all of which grew by less than 2.0 percent. Unlike the 1990s in which every state grew, one state (Michigan) declined over this decade, losing 0.6 percent of its population.[2] (Puerto Rico's population declined by 2.2 percent to 3.7 million people.)

Between 2000 and 2010, Texas experienced the highest numeric increase, up by 4.3 million people. California, which had the largest population increase in the previous decade, increased by 3.4 million over the same period; followed by Florida (2.8 million), Georgia (1.5 million), North Carolina (1.5 million), and Arizona (1.3 million). These six states, which were the only states to gain over a million people during the decade, accounted for over half (54.0 percent) of the overall increase for the United States.

The concentration of high percentage changes among the western and southern states maintains a pattern from recent decades (Figure 3). Nevada is the only state that has maintained a growth rate of 25.0 percent or greater for the last three decades; it has been the fastest-growing state for five

---

[2] The District of Columbia's population declined between 1990 and 2000.

Figure 2.
Regions and States: 2010

Region
- Northeast
- Midwest
- South
- West

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

2010, the fifth straight decade it has grown at a rate faster than any other state in the Northeast. Whereas New York and New Jersey had the largest numeric gains in the region in the 1990s, Pennsylvania gained the most population in the region between 2000 and 2010, increasing by 421,000 people.

South Dakota, growing by 7.9 percent between 2000 and 2010, was the fastest-growing state in the Midwest during this period— replacing Minnesota, which had been the fastest-growing state over the previous three decades. Illinois and Indiana had the largest numeric increases in that region over the decade, increasing by 411,000 and 403,000, respectively.

MOST POPULOUS STATES

The ten most populous states contained 54.0 percent of the U.S. population in 2010 (similar to the percentage in 2000) with one-fourth (26.5 percent) of the U.S. population in the three largest states: California (the most populous state since the 1970 Census), Texas, and New York. These three states had April 1, 2010, populations of

straight decades. Six states, including five in the West, grew by 25.0 percent or more between 1990 and 2000. Wyoming, after having lost population between 1980 and 1990, has grown over the past two decades, surpassing the national level between 2000 and

2010. Between 2000 and 2010, the District of Columbia experienced its first decennial population increase since the 1940s, increasing by 5.2 percent to surpass 600,000 people.

New Hampshire increased by 6.5 percent between 2000 and

Figure 3.
Percentage Change in Population by State and Decade: 1980–1990 to 2000–2010
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see *www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf*)

Percentage
- 25.0 or more
- 10.0 to 24.9
- 0.0 to 9.9
- Less than 0.0

1980–1990
U.S. change = 9.8

1990–2000
U.S. change = 13.2

2000–2010
U.S. change = 9.7

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census, Census 2000, 1990 Census, and 1980 Census.

37.3 million, 25.1 million, and 19.4 million, respectively. The next seven most populous states—Florida, Illinois, Pennsylvania, Ohio, Michigan, Georgia, and North Carolina—contained an additional 27.5 percent of the population. Nine of the ten largest states in 2000 were also among the ten largest in 2010. North Carolina, which was the eleventh largest state in 2000, moved into the top ten for 2010 (tenth largest)—replacing New Jersey, which fell from ninth largest in 2000 to eleventh in 2010.

The ten most populous and the ten least populous states are distributed among the four regions. The South contained the greatest number (four) of the ten largest states, with three others in the Midwest, two in the Northeast, and one in the West. Furthermore, the Northeast contained four of the ten least populous states (Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont), with three others in the West (Alaska, Montana, and Wyoming), two in the Midwest (North Dakota and South Dakota), and one in the South (Delaware).

METROPOLITAN AND MICROPOLITAN STATISTICAL AREAS

Over four-fifths (83.7 percent) of the U.S. population in 2010 lived in the nation's 366 metro areas, and another one-tenth (10.0 percent)

Metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas—metro and micro areas—are geographic entities defined by the U.S. Office of Management and Budget for use by federal statistical agencies in collecting, tabulating, and publishing federal statistics. Metro and micro areas are collectively known as core based statistical areas (CBSAs). A metro area contains a core urban area population of 50,000 or more. A micro area contains a core urban area population of at least 10,000 (but less than 50,000). Each metro or micro area consists of one or more counties and includes the counties containing the core urban area, as well as any adjacent counties that have a high degree of social and economic integration (as measured by commuting to work) with the urban core.

of the population resided in the nation's 576 micro areas (Table 2). Metro areas grew almost twice as fast as micro areas, 10.8 percent compared to 5.9 percent. Population growth of at least twice the national rate occurred in many metro and micro areas, such as some areas in parts of California, Nevada, Arizona, Texas, Florida, and the Carolinas. No metro area in the West region declined (Figure 4).

All ten of the most populous metro areas in 2010 grew over the decade, with Houston, Atlanta, and Dallas-Fort Worth (26.1 percent, 24.0 percent, and 23.4 percent, respectively) the fastest-growing among them (Table 3). The Atlanta metro area accounted for over one-half (54.4 percent) of Georgia's 2010 population and over two-thirds (68.0 percent) of the state's population growth during the last decade. In addition, the Houston

and Dallas-Fort Worth metro areas together accounted for almost one-half (49.0 percent) of Texas' population and over one-half (56.9 percent) of its population growth.

Two other top-ten metro areas experienced double-digit growth: Washington, DC (16.4 percent) and Miami (11.1 percent). The New York metro area, with a population of 18.9 million (6.1 percent of the U.S. population), and Los Angeles, with a population of 12.8 million (4.2 percent of the U.S. population), were the two most populous metro areas in the nation. Combined, approximately 1 of every 10 people in the United States lived in either the New York or Los Angeles metro areas in 2010.

Among all 366 metro areas, Palm Coast, FL, was the fastest-growing between 2000 and 2010 (up 92.0 percent), followed by St. George, UT, (up 52.9 percent), and by three

Table 2.
Population by Core Based Statistical Area (CBSA) Status: 2000 and 2010

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see *www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf*)

Area	Population		Share of U.S. population		Change	
	2000	2010	2000	2010	Number	Percent
United States.....................	**281,421,906**	**308,745,538**	**100.0**	**100.0**	**27,323,632**	**9.7**
Inside CBSA	262,290,227	289,261,315	93.2	93.7	26,971,088	10.3
Metropolitan............................	233,069,827	258,317,763	82.8	83.7	25,247,936	10.8
Micropolitan............................	29,220,400	30,943,552	10.4	10.0	1,723,152	5.9
Outside CBSA	19,131,679	19,484,223	6.8	6.3	352,544	1.8

Note: Metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas defined by the Office of Management and Budget as of December 2009.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and Census 2000.

Figure 4.
Percentage Change in Metropolitan and Micropolitan Statistical Area Population: 2000 to 2010

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf)

Percentage change

Metropolitan statistical area
- 19.4 or more
- 9.7 to 19.3
- 0.0 to 9.6
- Less than 0.0

Micropolitan statistical area
- 19.4 or more
- 9.7 to 19.3
- 0.0 to 9.6
- Less than 0.0

U.S. total population percentage increase: 9.7

Note: Metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas defined by the Office of Management and Budget as of December 2009. Broomfield County, CO, was formed from parts of Adams, Boulder, Jefferson, and Weld Counties, CO, on November 15, 2001, and was coextensive with Broomfield city. For purposes of presenting data for metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas, Broomfield is treated as if it were a county at the time of Census 2000.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and Census 2000.

other areas with population growth rates over 40.0 percent: Las Vegas, Raleigh, and Cape Coral (Table 3).

The ten fastest-growing metro areas included both large and small metro areas, ranging from three areas with 2010 populations of more than 1.0 million (Las Vegas, Austin, and Raleigh) to one below 100,000 (Palm Coast, FL). The Las Vegas metro area accounted for almost three-quarters (72.3 percent) of Nevada's 2010 population and over four-fifths (81.9 percent) of the state's growth.

Many of the fast-growing micro areas were located near fast-growing metro areas. Likewise, many of the micro areas that were slow-growing or declining were located near slow-growing or declining metro areas.

COUNTIES

Almost two-thirds of the nation's 3,143 counties gained population between 2000 and 2010. Most counties along the Pacific, Atlantic, and Gulf Coasts grew between 2000 and 2010, as did most counties adjacent to the southern U.S. border (Figure 5). Furthermore, many counties in the South— such as those in parts of Florida, northern Georgia, North Carolina, Virginia, and the eastern half of Texas—experienced growth at or above 10 percent. In the West, all counties in Utah experienced population growth in the last decade, with some of those gains being

25 percent or more. Most New England counties grew, but most of these increased at rates below 10 percent.[3]

The counties that lost population were mostly regionally clustered and mirrored decades of population loss for those areas; for example, many Appalachian counties in eastern Kentucky and West Virginia; many Great Plains counties in the Dakotas, Kansas, Nebraska, and Texas; and a group of counties in and around the Mississippi Delta saw population declines. In addition, many counties along the Great Lakes and on the northern U.S.

---

[3] New England consists of Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, and Connecticut.

Table 3.
Population Change for the Ten Most Populous and Ten Fastest-Growing Metropolitan Statistical Areas: 2000 to 2010

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see *www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf*)

Metropolitan statistical area	Population		Change	
	2000	2010	Number	Percent
MOST POPULOUS				
New York-Northern New Jersey-Long Island, NY-NJ-PA	18,323,002	18,897,109	574,107	3.1
Los Angeles-Long Beach-Santa Ana, CA	12,365,627	12,828,837	463,210	3.7
Chicago-Joliet-Naperville, IL-IN-WI	9,098,316	9,461,105	362,789	4.0
Dallas-Fort Worth-Arlington, TX	5,161,544	6,371,773	1,210,229	23.4
Philadelphia-Camden-Wilmington, PA-NJ-DE-MD	5,687,147	5,965,343	278,196	4.9
Houston-Sugar Land-Baytown, TX	4,715,407	5,946,800	1,231,393	26.1
Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV	4,796,183	5,582,170	785,987	16.4
Miami-Fort Lauderdale-Pompano Beach, FL	5,007,564	5,564,635	557,071	11.1
Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Marietta, GA	4,247,981	5,268,860	1,020,879	24.0
Boston-Cambridge-Quincy, MA-NH	4,391,344	4,552,402	161,058	3.7
FASTEST-GROWING				
Palm Coast, FL	49,832	95,696	45,864	92.0
St. George, UT	90,354	138,115	47,761	52.9
Las Vegas-Paradise, NV	1,375,765	1,951,269	575,504	41.8
Raleigh-Cary, NC	797,071	1,130,490	333,419	41.8
Cape Coral-Fort Myers, FL	440,888	618,754	177,866	40.3
Provo-Orem, UT	376,774	526,810	150,036	39.8
Greeley, CO	180,926	252,825	71,899	39.7
Austin-Round Rock-San Marcos, TX	1,249,763	1,716,289	466,526	37.3
Myrtle Beach-North Myrtle Beach-Conway, SC	196,629	269,291	72,662	37.0
Bend, OR	115,367	157,733	42,366	36.7

Note: The full names of the metropolitan statistical areas are shown in this table; abbreviated versions of the names are shown in the text.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and Census 2000.

border either lost population or grew below 10 percent.

Some counties in midwestern metro areas grew rapidly (50 percent or more), even though the surrounding counties grew more slowly or declined. Examples include (metro area in parentheses): Delaware County, OH, (Columbus); Hamilton County, IN, (Indianapolis); Kendall County, IL, (Chicago), and Dallas County, IA, (Des Moines).

Some counties with the largest numeric gains in population contained large cities, such as Phoenix and Houston. Some of the largest numeric losses also occurred in counties containing or coextensive with large cities, such as Detroit, Chicago, Cleveland, Pittsburgh, Buffalo, Baltimore, St. Louis, and New Orleans. Not surprisingly, many of the counties with large numeric change were also the ones with large populations (Figure 6), such as some counties in parts of California, Arizona, Texas, Florida, and in the corridor from Boston to Washington, DC. In contrast to the many large counties found in California, for example, the most populous counties in states such as Montana, Wyoming, and the Dakotas were much smaller. In fact, none of these four states contained a county with a 2010 Census population of 200,000 or more. Montana and South Dakota each possessed only two counties with populations of 100,000 or more; North Dakota only contained one; and Wyoming did not have any county of that population size.

Los Angeles County, CA, with a population of 9.8 million, remained the most populous county in the United States since 1960, followed by Cook County, IL, (containing Chicago), and Harris County, TX, (containing Houston) (Table 4). Nine of the ten largest counties grew, led by Maricopa County, AZ, (containing Phoenix) and Harris County, TX, with rates of 24.2 and 20.3 percent, respectively. Cook County, IL, was the exception, declining by 3.4 percent.

In 2010, Maricopa County contained 59.7 percent of Arizona's population and accounted for 59.1 percent of the state's growth between 2000 and 2010. Large counties in other states also accounted for large portions of their state's population and growth. For example, the two Texas counties (Harris and Dallas) that were among the ten largest nationally accounted for over one-quarter (25.7 percent) of the population of the nation's second-largest state and 19.6 percent of its growth.

As with the largest counties and those with the largest numeric gains, many of the fastest-growing counties with a Census 2000 population of 10,000 or more were

Figure 5.
Change in Population by County: 2000 to 2010
(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see *www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf*)

Numeric Change

Number
- 40,000 or more
- 20,000 to 39,999
- 10,000 to 19,999
- 0 to 9,999
- −1 to −9,999
- Less than −9,999
- Comparable data not available

Percentage Change

Percentage
- 50.0 or more
- 25.0 to 49.9
- 10.0 to 24.9
- 0.0 to 9.9
- −9.9 to −0.0
- Less than −9.9
- Comparable data not available

U.S. change: 9.7 percent

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and Census 2000.

Figure 6.
Population Distribution by County: 2010

The area of each diamond symbol is proportioned to the number of people in a county. The legend presents example symbol sizes from the many symbols shown on the map.

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf)

Number of people

10,000,000
5,000,000
1,000,000
100,000

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

Table 4.
Population Change for the Ten Most Populous and Ten Fastest-Growing Counties: 2000 to 2010

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see
www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf)

County	Population		Change	
	2000	2010	Number	Percent
MOST POPULOUS				
Los Angeles, CA	9,519,338	9,818,605	299,267	3.1
Cook, IL.	5,376,741	5,194,675	−182,066	−3.4
Harris, TX.	3,400,578	4,092,459	691,881	20.3
Maricopa, AZ.	3,072,149	3,817,117	744,968	24.2
San Diego, CA.	2,813,833	3,095,313	281,480	10.0
Orange, CA	2,846,289	3,010,232	163,943	5.8
Kings, NY.	2,465,326	2,504,700	39,374	1.6
Miami-Dade, FL.	2,253,362	2,496,435	243,073	10.8
Dallas, TX	2,218,899	2,368,139	149,240	6.7
Queens, NY.	2,229,379	2,230,722	1,343	0.1
FASTEST-GROWING[1]				
Kendall, IL	54,544	114,736	60,192	110.4
Pinal, AZ	179,727	375,770	196,043	109.1
Flagler, FL	49,832	95,696	45,864	92.0
Lincoln, SD	24,131	44,828	20,697	85.8
Loudoun, VA	169,599	312,311	142,712	84.1
Rockwall, TX	43,080	78,337	35,257	81.8
Forsyth, GA	98,407	175,511	77,104	78.4
Sumter, FL.	53,345	93,420	40,075	75.1
Paulding, GA	81,678	142,324	60,646	74.3
Henry, GA	119,341	203,922	84,581	70.9

[1] Among counties with Census 2000 populations of 10,000 or more.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and Census 2000.

in metro areas. Two counties with Census 2000 populations of 10,000 or greater more than doubled their populations between 2000 and 2010 (metro area in parentheses): Kendall County, IL, (Chicago) and Pinal County, AZ, (Phoenix) (Table 4). In comparison, three counties with 1990 populations of 10,000 or greater more than doubled their populations between 1990 and 2000: Douglas County, CO, (Denver); Forsyth County, GA; and Henry County, GA (Atlanta).

Another six counties in this size range experienced growth rates between 75 percent and 100 percent between 2000 and 2010: Flagler County, FL, (Palm Coast); Lincoln County, SD, (Sioux Falls); Loudoun County, VA, (Washington, DC); Rockwall County, TX, (Dallas-Fort Worth); Forsyth County, GA, (Atlanta); and Sumter County, FL,

(located in a micro area to the west of Orlando).

Population density for counties continued to vary widely across the country in 2010 (Figure 7). Counties in the Northeast and South were generally more densely populated than many of the counties in the Midwest and West, which contained numerous counties with densities lower than 10 people per square mile. The highest densities included some of the counties along the Atlantic, Pacific, and Gulf coasts, some counties adjacent to the Great Lakes, and some counties in western North Carolina, western South Carolina, and northern Georgia, among others. An almost unbroken chain of coastal counties with population densities of 300 people per square mile or more runs from New Hampshire through northern Virginia.

PLACES

In this section, we examine population change from 2000 to 2010 for incorporated places that had populations of 10,000 or more in Census 2000. Nine of the ten most populous cities gained population this past decade (Table 5). Led by New York (8.2 million), Los Angeles (3.8 million), and Chicago (2.7 million), the six most populous cities kept their same rank as in 2000; fourth-ranked Houston surpassed the 2 million mark during the decade. San Antonio—which had the largest numeric increase and the largest percentage increase among the top ten—moved ahead of San Diego and Dallas into seventh place, while San Jose replaced Detroit as the tenth most populous city. Chicago, which had grown between 1990 and 2000, was the only top-ten city in 2010 to experience decline over the decade (−6.9 percent), while Philadelphia's gain between 2000 and 2010 was its first decennial gain since the 1940–1950 period. The seven cities that were not only in the top ten in both 2000 and 2010, but also grew between 1990–2000 and 2000–2010 (New York, Los Angeles, Houston, Phoenix, San Antonio, San Diego, and Dallas) experienced smaller numeric and percentage increases between 2000 and 2010 than they did between 1990 and 2000.[4] Furthermore, the cumulative gain between 2000 and 2010 for the ten largest cities (including the loss for Chicago) was approximately 670,000, which was less than the roughly 686,000 gain for New York alone from 1990 to 2000.

Of incorporated places with Census 2000 populations of 10,000 or greater, nine of the ten fastest-growing ones between 2000 and

[4] Philadelphia was in the top ten between 1990 and 2000 but declined over that decade.

Figure 7.

Population Density by County: 2010

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see *www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf*)

Average number of people

- 300.0 or more
- 200.0 to 299.9
- 100.0 to 199.9
- 50.0 to 99.9
- 10.0 to 49.9
- Less than 10.0

U.S. density: 87.4

Note: Population density expressed as average number of people per square mile of land area.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census.

2010 were located in either western or southern states—one was located in the Midwest (Plainfield, IL)—and all ten were located in metro areas with 2010 Census populations of 1 million or more (metro area in parentheses): Lincoln, CA, (Sacramento); Surprise, AZ, and Goodyear, AZ, (Phoenix); Frisco, TX, and Wylie, TX, (Dallas-Fort Worth); Beaumont, CA, (Riverside-San Bernardino); Plainfield, IL, (Chicago); Louisville/Jefferson County, KY (Louisville/Jefferson County); Pflugerville, TX, (Austin); and Indian Trail, NC, (Charlotte). Six of the places more than tripled their populations between 2000 and 2010: Lincoln (282.1 percent); Surprise (281.0 percent); Frisco (247.0 percent); Goodyear (245.2 percent); Beaumont (223.9 percent);

and Plainfield (203.6 percent). The next four places grew between 170 and 190 percent.

METHODOLOGY AND SOURCES OF DATA

This report used decennial census data primarily for the years 1990, 2000, and 2010. The population universe is the resident population of the United States (50 states and the District of Columbia) and Puerto Rico. All derived values were computed using unrounded data. For readability, most whole numbers in the text are expressed in millions or rounded to the nearest hundred or thousand, and percentages are rounded to tenths. In the tables, whole numbers are unrounded and percentages are rounded to the nearest tenth. In the maps, data are

categorized based on unrounded percentages. In Figure 5 and the tables, numeric and percentage change for counties are only calculated for the universe of counties that existed in both Census 2000 and the 2010 Census.

FOR MORE INFORMATION

Data for state and local areas from the *2010 Census Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File* are available on the Internet at <http://factfinder2.census .gov/main.html> and on DVD. For more information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see <www.census .gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94 -171.pdf>. For more information on metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas, including concepts,

Table 5.
Population Change for the Ten Most Populous and Ten Fastest-Growing Incorporated Places: 2000 to 2010

(For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see *www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/doc/pl94-171.pdf*)

Place	Population		Change	
	2000	2010	Number	Percent
MOST POPULOUS				
New York city, NY.	8,008,278	8,175,133	166,855	2.1
Los Angeles city, CA	3,694,820	3,792,621	97,801	2.6
Chicago city, IL	2,896,016	2,695,598	−200,418	−6.9
Houston city, TX.	1,953,631	2,099,451	145,820	7.5
Philadelphia city, PA.	1,517,550	1,526,006	8,456	0.6
Phoenix city, AZ.	1,321,045	1,445,632	124,587	9.4
San Antonio city, TX	1,144,646	1,327,407	182,761	16.0
San Diego city, CA.	1,223,400	1,307,402	84,002	6.9
Dallas city, TX	1,188,580	1,197,816	9,236	0.8
San Jose city, CA.	894,943	945,942	50,999	5.7
FASTEST-GROWING[1]				
Lincoln city, CA	11,205	42,819	31,614	282.1
Surprise city, AZ	30,848	117,517	86,669	281.0
Frisco city, TX	33,714	116,989	83,275	247.0
Goodyear city, AZ	18,911	65,275	46,364	245.2
Beaumont city, CA.	11,384	36,877	25,493	223.9
Plainfield village, IL	13,038	39,581	26,543	203.6
Louisville/Jefferson County metro government, KY[2]	256,231	741,096	484,865	189.2
Pflugerville city, TX	16,335	46,936	30,601	187.3
Indian Trail town, NC	11,905	33,518	21,613	181.5
Wylie city, TX	15,132	41,427	26,295	173.8

[1] Among incorporated places with Census 2000 populations of 10,000 or more.

[2] Louisville city and Jefferson County, Kentucky, formed a consolidated government after Census 2000. The 2000 population for the incorporated place of Louisville city is before consolidation.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, 2010 Census and Census 2000.

definitions, reports, and maps, go to <www.census.gov/population/www/metroareas/metroarea.html>. For more information on historical census data, go to <www.census.gov/population/www/censusdata/hiscendata.html>.

Information on other population and housing topics is presented in the 2010 Census Briefs series, located on the U.S. Census Bureau's Web site at <www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/>. This series also presents information about race, Hispanic origin, age, sex, household type, housing tenure, and people who reside in group quarters.

If you have questions or need additional information, please call the Customer Services Center at 1-800-923-8282. You can also visit the Census Bureau's Question and Answer Center at <ask.census.gov> to submit your questions online.

We are hiring thousands of people for the 2020 Census. Click to learn more and apply.

Age Search Service

Data

Related Sites

< Back to Data

Age Search Service

How to Obtain a Copy of Your Census Record

Frequently Occurring Surnames from the 2010 Census

NOTE: This presentation of data focuses on summarized aggregates of counts and characteristics associated with surnames, and the data do not in any way identify any specific individuals.

Tabulations of all surnames occurring 100 or more times in the 2010 Census returns are provided in the files listed below. The first link explains the methodology used for identifying and editing names data. The second link provides an Excel file of the top 1,000 surnames. The third link provides zipped Excel and CSV (comma separated) files of the complete list of 162,253 names. The top ten surnames are:

Name	Number Of Occurrences
Smith	2,442,977
Johnson	1,932,812
Williams	1,625,252
Brown	1,437,026
Jones	1,425,470
Garcia	1,166,120
Miller	1,161,437
Davis	1,116,357
Rodriguez	1,094,924
Martinez	1,060,159

Related Files

📄 Technical Documentation: Demographic Aspects of Surnames - 2010 Census   [<1.0MB]

📊 File A: Top 1000 Names   [<1.0MB]

📊 File B: Surnames Occurring 100 or more times   [<1.0MB]

Last Revised: December 27, 2016

Frequently Occurring Surnames in the 2010 Census: Top 1,000 Surnames

SURNAME	RANK	FREQUENCY (COUNT)	PROPORTION PER 100,000 POPULATION	CUMULATIVE PROPORTION	PERCENT NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO WHITE ALONE	PERCENT NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO BLACK OR AFRICAN AMERICAN ALONE	PERCENT NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO ASIAN AND NATIVE HAWAIIAN AND OTHER PACIFIC ISLANDER ALONE	PERCENT NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO AMERICAN INDIAN AND ALASKA	PERCENT NON-HISPANIC OR LATINO TWO OR MORE	PERCENT HISPANIC OR LATINO ORIGIN
SMITH	1	2,442,977	828.2	828.2	70.9	23.1	0.5	0.9	2.2	2.4
JOHNSON	2	1,932,812	655.2	1,483.4	59.0	34.6	0.5	0.9	2.6	2.4
WILLIAMS	3	1,625,252	551.0	2,034.4	45.8	47.7	0.5	0.8	2.8	2.5
BROWN	4	1,437,026	487.2	2,521.6	58.0	35.6	0.5	0.9	2.6	2.5
JONES	5	1,425,470	483.2	3,004.8	55.2	38.5	0.4	1.0	2.6	2.3
GARCIA	6	1,166,120	395.3	3,400.1	5.4	0.5	1.4	0.5	0.3	92.0
MILLER	7	1,161,437	393.7	3,793.9	84.1	10.8	0.5	0.7	1.8	2.2
DAVIS	8	1,116,357	378.5	4,172.3	62.2	31.6	0.5	0.8	2.5	2.4
RODRIGUEZ	9	1,094,924	371.2	4,543.5	4.8	0.5	0.6	0.2	0.2	93.8
MARTINEZ	10	1,060,159	359.4	4,902.9	5.3	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.2	92.9
HERNANDEZ	11	1,043,281	353.7	5,256.6	3.8	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.2	94.9
LOPEZ	12	874,523	296.5	5,553.1	4.9	0.6	1.0	0.4	0.3	92.9
GONZALEZ	13	841,025	285.1	5,838.2	4.0	0.4	0.4	0.1	0.1	95.0
WILSON	14	801,882	271.8	6,110.0	67.4	26.0	0.6	1.1	2.4	2.6
ANDERSON	15	784,404	265.9	6,375.9	75.2	18.9	0.6	0.7	2.1	2.4
THOMAS	16	756,142	256.3	6,632.3	52.6	38.8	2.4	1.1	2.6	2.5
TAYLOR	17	751,209	254.7	6,886.9	65.4	28.4	0.6	0.8	2.4	2.5
MOORE	18	724,374	245.6	7,132.5	66.4	27.7	0.5	0.7	2.3	2.3
JACKSON	19	708,099	240.1	7,372.5	39.9	53.0	0.4	1.1	3.1	2.5
MARTIN	20	702,625	238.2	7,610.7	74.8	15.8	0.9	1.0	2.0	5.6
LEE	21	693,023	234.9	7,845.7	36.0	16.3	42.2	1.0	2.6	1.9
PEREZ	22	681,645	231.1	8,076.8	5.0	0.5	1.2	0.2	0.3	93.0
THOMPSON	23	664,644	225.3	8,302.1	69.8	23.6	0.6	1.2	2.4	2.5
WHITE	24	660,491	223.9	8,526.0	65.5	28.2	0.5	1.1	2.4	2.4
HARRIS	25	624,252	211.6	8,737.6	51.4	42.4	0.5	0.7	2.8	2.3
SANCHEZ	26	612,752	207.7	8,945.3	5.0	0.5	1.0	0.4	0.2	93.0
CLARK	27	562,679	190.8	9,136.1	74.7	19.0	0.5	1.0	2.2	2.6
RAMIREZ	28	557,423	189.0	9,325.1	3.9	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.2	94.5
LEWIS	29	531,781	180.3	9,505.3	58.2	34.8	0.6	1.2	2.6	2.6

ROBINSON	30	529,821	179.6	9,685.0	48.7	44.9	0.5	0.5	2.8	2.6
WALKER	31	523,129	177.3	9,862.3	58.7	35.1	0.5	0.9	2.6	2.4
YOUNG	32	484,447	164.2	10,026.5	66.3	24.7	3.0	0.8	2.7	2.6
ALLEN	33	482,607	163.6	10,190.1	67.6	26.2	0.5	0.9	2.4	2.5
KING	34	465,422	157.8	10,347.9	70.2	22.8	1.2	1.0	2.3	2.6
WRIGHT	35	458,980	155.6	10,503.5	65.8	28.2	0.5	0.7	2.4	2.4
SCOTT	36	439,530	149.0	10,652.5	60.2	32.9	0.5	1.2	2.6	2.6
TORRES	37	437,813	148.4	10,800.9	5.4	0.6	1.4	0.2	0.3	92.2
NGUYEN	38	437,645	148.4	10,949.3	1.0	0.1	96.5	0.0	1.8	0.6
HILL	39	434,827	147.4	11,096.7	64.4	29.1	0.5	1.0	2.5	2.5
FLORES	40	433,969	147.1	11,243.8	4.9	0.4	2.1	0.3	0.4	91.9
GREEN	41	430,182	145.8	11,389.7	56.8	37.0	0.4	0.6	2.5	2.6
ADAMS	42	427,865	145.1	11,534.7	74.0	19.9	0.6	0.8	2.2	2.6
NELSON	43	424,958	144.1	11,678.8	77.7	16.0	0.7	1.2	2.0	2.6
BAKER	44	419,586	142.2	11,821.0	79.8	14.4	0.6	0.9	2.0	2.3
HALL	45	407,076	138.0	11,959.0	72.7	21.6	0.6	0.7	2.2	2.3
RIVERA	46	391,114	132.6	12,091.6	5.4	1.0	2.0	0.2	0.4	91.1
CAMPBELL	47	386,157	130.9	12,222.5	73.7	20.5	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.5
MITCHELL	48	384,486	130.3	12,352.9	61.0	32.5	0.5	1.0	2.5	2.5
CARTER	49	376,966	127.8	12,480.7	58.2	35.6	0.5	0.8	2.7	2.3
ROBERTS	50	376,774	127.7	12,608.4	77.3	16.7	0.6	0.9	2.2	2.4
GOMEZ	51	365,655	124.0	12,732.4	5.1	0.8	1.0	0.3	0.3	92.6
PHILLIPS	52	360,802	122.3	12,854.7	76.7	17.1	0.6	1.0	2.2	2.4
EVANS	53	355,593	120.6	12,975.2	68.4	25.7	0.5	0.7	2.3	2.4
TURNER	54	348,627	118.2	13,093.4	64.3	30.1	0.4	0.6	2.4	2.2
DIAZ	55	347,636	117.9	13,211.3	5.2	0.7	1.2	0.2	0.3	92.6
PARKER	56	336,221	114.0	13,325.2	69.2	24.8	0.5	0.9	2.4	2.3
CRUZ	57	334,201	113.3	13,438.5	5.2	0.8	5.2	0.3	0.7	87.9
EDWARDS	58	332,423	112.7	13,551.2	62.1	31.6	0.5	0.8	2.5	2.5
COLLINS	59	329,770	111.8	13,663.0	71.6	22.4	0.5	0.8	2.2	2.5
REYES	60	327,904	111.2	13,774.2	4.4	0.6	5.6	0.2	0.6	88.6
STEWART	61	324,957	110.2	13,884.3	69.2	24.9	0.5	0.8	2.2	2.5
MORRIS	62	318,884	108.1	13,992.5	73.6	20.1	0.6	1.0	2.2	2.6
MORALES	63	311,777	105.7	14,098.1	4.6	0.6	1.2	0.2	0.2	93.2
MURPHY	64	308,417	104.6	14,202.7	83.1	11.5	0.6	0.7	1.8	2.3
COOK	65	302,589	102.6	14,305.3	81.8	12.6	0.6	0.9	2.0	2.2

ROGERS	66	302,261	102.5	14,407.8	75.4	18.5	0.5	0.8	2.1	2.6
GUTIERREZ	67	293,218	99.4	14,507.2	4.6	0.2	1.4	0.3	0.2	93.3
ORTIZ	68	286,899	97.3	14,604.4	5.0	0.6	0.7	0.4	0.3	93.1
MORGAN	69	286,280	97.1	14,701.5	76.1	17.3	0.5	1.2	2.1	2.8
COOPER	70	280,791	95.2	14,796.7	67.9	26.1	0.5	0.7	2.3	2.4
PETERSON	71	278,297	94.3	14,891.0	84.4	10.1	0.7	0.7	1.7	2.4
BAILEY	72	277,845	94.2	14,985.2	72.5	22.0	0.5	0.6	2.2	2.3
REED	73	277,030	93.9	15,079.1	71.3	22.6	0.5	1.1	2.3	2.2
KELLY	74	267,394	90.7	15,169.8	78.1	16.2	0.6	0.5	1.8	2.7
HOWARD	75	264,826	89.8	15,259.5	64.3	29.5	0.5	0.9	2.5	2.4
RAMOS	76	263,464	89.3	15,348.8	6.5	1.0	4.9	0.2	0.7	86.8
KIM	77	262,352	88.9	15,437.8	2.5	0.4	94.5	0.0	2.0	0.7
COX	78	261,231	88.6	15,526.3	82.6	12.1	0.5	0.7	1.8	2.3
WARD	79	260,464	88.3	15,614.6	75.6	18.5	0.5	0.9	2.1	2.4
RICHARDSON	80	259,798	88.1	15,702.7	59.7	33.6	0.5	1.1	2.4	2.7
WATSON	81	252,579	85.6	15,788.3	66.0	27.9	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.4
BROOKS	82	251,663	85.3	15,873.7	60.2	33.5	0.5	0.8	2.6	2.5
CHAVEZ	83	250,898	85.1	15,958.7	5.0	0.2	0.7	0.9	0.2	93.0
WOOD	84	250,715	85.0	16,043.7	88.7	5.6	0.7	0.8	1.8	2.4
JAMES	85	249,379	84.5	16,128.3	51.6	38.9	1.3	2.6	2.6	3.1
BENNETT	86	247,599	83.9	16,212.2	76.6	17.5	0.5	0.9	2.0	2.5
GRAY	87	246,116	83.4	16,295.6	68.7	25.1	0.5	0.9	2.3	2.4
MENDOZA	88	242,771	82.3	16,377.9	4.1	0.4	4.9	0.2	0.4	90.0
RUIZ	89	238,234	80.8	16,458.7	5.2	0.4	1.0	0.2	0.2	92.9
HUGHES	90	236,271	80.1	16,538.8	78.4	16.1	0.5	0.5	2.0	2.5
PRICE	91	235,251	79.8	16,618.5	73.9	20.5	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.2
ALVAREZ	92	233,983	79.3	16,697.9	5.2	0.6	1.2	0.4	0.2	92.5
CASTILLO	93	230,420	78.1	16,776.0	4.9	0.7	3.0	0.6	0.5	90.3
SANDERS	94	230,374	78.1	16,854.1	60.8	33.0	0.4	0.8	2.4	2.6
PATEL	95	229,973	78.0	16,932.0	2.1	0.4	94.8	0.7	1.7	0.4
MYERS	96	229,895	77.9	17,010.0	84.5	10.5	0.5	0.6	1.8	2.1
LONG	97	229,374	77.8	17,087.7	79.9	12.1	2.5	1.3	2.0	2.2
ROSS	98	229,368	77.8	17,165.5	69.0	24.5	0.6	0.9	2.3	2.8
FOSTER	99	227,764	77.2	17,242.7	69.7	23.9	0.6	0.9	2.3	2.7
JIMENEZ	100	227,118	77.0	17,319.7	3.8	0.3	1.5	0.2	0.2	94.0
POWELL	101	224,874	76.2	17,395.9	67.2	27.1	0.5	0.6	2.3	2.3

JENKINS	102	222,653	75.5	17,471.4	57.8	36.8	0.4	0.6	2.4	2.1
PERRY	103	221,741	75.2	17,546.6	68.3	25.2	0.6	0.8	2.4	2.7
RUSSELL	104	221,558	75.1	17,621.7	77.1	16.7	0.6	1.1	2.2	2.5
SULLIVAN	105	220,990	74.9	17,696.6	87.7	7.1	0.6	0.6	1.6	2.4
BELL	106	220,599	74.8	17,771.4	61.1	32.4	0.6	1.0	2.5	2.5
COLEMAN	107	219,070	74.3	17,845.7	49.4	44.6	0.4	0.5	2.8	2.3
BUTLER	108	218,847	74.2	17,919.9	62.4	31.5	0.5	0.8	2.5	2.4
HENDERSON	109	218,393	74.0	17,993.9	59.6	34.0	0.5	0.9	2.6	2.5
BARNES	110	218,241	74.0	18,067.9	64.8	29.3	0.5	0.8	2.4	2.3
GONZALES	111	214,758	72.8	18,140.7	9.8	1.0	3.8	0.8	0.6	84.1
FISHER	112	214,703	72.8	18,213.5	82.6	11.8	0.6	0.8	1.9	2.4
VASQUEZ	113	212,781	72.1	18,285.6	5.1	0.5	0.7	0.3	0.2	93.2
SIMMONS	114	210,182	71.3	18,356.9	57.9	36.2	0.5	0.7	2.5	2.3
ROMERO	115	208,614	70.7	18,427.6	8.7	0.5	1.3	0.7	0.4	88.5
JORDAN	116	208,403	70.7	18,498.2	61.4	30.7	0.6	0.7	2.5	4.1
PATTERSON	117	205,423	69.6	18,567.9	67.3	26.8	0.5	0.7	2.4	2.4
ALEXANDER	118	204,621	69.4	18,637.2	58.2	34.0	1.2	0.7	2.7	3.2
HAMILTON	119	201,746	68.4	18,705.6	70.2	23.7	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.5
GRAHAM	120	201,159	68.2	18,773.8	70.0	24.0	0.5	0.8	2.1	2.6
REYNOLDS	121	200,247	67.9	18,841.7	81.1	13.2	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.5
GRIFFIN	122	198,406	67.3	18,909.0	63.8	30.8	0.4	0.5	2.3	2.2
WALLACE	123	197,276	66.9	18,975.8	69.2	24.7	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.5
MORENO	124	196,925	66.8	19,042.6	6.1	0.4	0.7	0.4	0.2	92.2
WEST	125	195,818	66.4	19,109.0	75.5	18.5	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.5
COLE	126	195,289	66.2	19,175.2	75.3	18.9	0.6	0.7	2.1	2.4
HAYES	127	194,246	65.9	19,241.0	69.4	24.7	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.3
BRYANT	128	192,773	65.4	19,306.4	58.5	35.6	0.4	0.9	2.5	2.2
HERRERA	129	192,711	65.3	19,371.7	4.9	0.4	1.0	0.5	0.2	93.0
GIBSON	130	190,667	64.6	19,436.4	72.1	21.8	0.5	1.0	2.3	2.3
ELLIS	131	188,968	64.1	19,500.4	70.4	23.5	0.5	0.7	2.2	2.7
TRAN	132	188,498	63.9	19,564.3	1.4	0.1	96.0	0.0	1.7	0.8
MEDINA	133	188,497	63.9	19,628.2	5.7	0.6	1.9	0.3	0.3	91.2
AGUILAR	134	186,512	63.2	19,691.5	4.0	0.3	1.6	0.5	0.2	93.3
STEVENS	135	185,674	62.9	19,754.4	81.4	11.8	0.6	1.3	2.0	2.7
MURRAY	136	184,910	62.7	19,817.1	74.9	19.3	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.6
FORD	137	184,832	62.7	19,879.7	62.1	32.0	0.5	0.6	2.5	2.4

CASTRO	138	184,134	62.4	19,942.2	7.3	0.7	3.7	0.2	0.6	87.4
MARSHALL	139	183,922	62.4	20,004.5	66.0	27.5	0.6	1.0	2.3	2.6
OWENS	140	182,719	61.9	20,066.5	65.9	28.4	0.4	0.8	2.5	2.2
HARRISON	141	181,091	61.4	20,127.9	68.7	24.5	0.5	1.4	2.3	2.7
FERNANDEZ	142	180,842	61.3	20,189.2	8.8	1.2	5.4	0.2	0.9	83.6
MCDONALD	143	180,497	61.2	20,250.3	76.7	17.3	0.6	0.8	2.0	2.7
WOODS	144	177,425	60.2	20,310.5	58.3	35.3	0.4	0.9	2.7	2.4
WASHINGTON	145	177,386	60.1	20,370.6	5.2	87.5	0.3	0.7	3.8	2.5
KENNEDY	146	176,865	60.0	20,430.6	80.8	13.6	0.6	0.6	1.9	2.5
WELLS	147	176,230	59.7	20,490.3	74.0	20.0	0.5	0.9	2.2	2.4
VARGAS	148	173,835	58.9	20,549.3	5.4	0.4	0.9	0.2	0.2	92.9
HENRY	149	170,964	58.0	20,607.2	61.4	30.7	0.8	1.9	2.3	2.8
CHEN	150	169,580	57.5	20,664.7	1.4	0.3	96.1	0.0	1.6	0.5
FREEMAN	151	169,149	57.3	20,722.1	65.5	28.3	0.5	1.0	2.4	2.3
WEBB	152	168,878	57.3	20,779.3	75.5	19.1	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.3
TUCKER	153	167,446	56.8	20,836.1	70.0	24.2	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.4
GUZMAN	154	167,044	56.6	20,892.7	4.1	0.4	1.3	0.2	0.3	93.8
BURNS	155	165,925	56.3	20,948.9	80.3	14.1	0.6	0.8	1.8	2.5
CRAWFORD	156	164,457	55.8	21,004.7	68.6	25.7	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.2
OLSON	157	164,035	55.6	21,060.3	94.8	0.4	0.7	0.7	1.4	2.0
SIMPSON	158	163,181	55.3	21,115.6	70.8	23.1	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.5
PORTER	159	163,054	55.3	21,170.9	68.6	25.4	0.6	0.7	2.3	2.5
HUNTER	160	162,440	55.1	21,226.0	60.8	32.7	0.5	1.0	2.6	2.4
GORDON	161	161,833	54.9	21,280.8	64.3	29.2	0.6	0.7	2.3	3.0
MENDEZ	162	161,717	54.8	21,335.7	4.5	0.8	0.5	0.2	0.2	93.8
SILVA	163	161,633	54.8	21,390.5	32.6	2.0	2.0	0.4	1.6	61.3
SHAW	164	160,400	54.4	21,444.8	72.1	21.2	1.5	0.8	2.2	2.4
SNYDER	165	160,262	54.3	21,499.2	94.1	1.5	0.6	0.5	1.5	1.9
MASON	166	160,213	54.3	21,553.5	68.8	25.1	0.5	0.8	2.4	2.4
DIXON	167	159,480	54.1	21,607.5	54.3	39.3	0.4	1.0	2.6	2.5
MUNOZ	168	158,483	53.7	21,661.3	4.9	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.2	93.5
HUNT	169	158,421	53.7	21,715.0	73.6	16.9	0.6	4.2	2.4	2.3
HICKS	170	158,320	53.7	21,768.6	67.4	26.9	0.4	1.0	2.2	2.1
HOLMES	171	156,780	53.2	21,821.8	56.8	37.3	0.4	0.8	2.4	2.4
PALMER	172	156,601	53.1	21,874.9	75.1	18.6	0.6	0.8	2.1	2.9
WAGNER	173	155,795	52.8	21,927.7	92.5	2.6	0.6	0.5	1.4	2.4

BLACK	174	154,738	52.5	21,980.2	74.6	19.0	0.5	1.4	2.1	2.3
ROBERTSON	175	153,666	52.1	22,032.2	73.4	20.6	0.5	0.9	2.1	2.5
BOYD	176	153,469	52.0	22,084.3	63.2	30.8	0.5	1.0	2.4	2.2
ROSE	177	153,397	52.0	22,136.3	82.1	11.6	0.7	0.6	2.0	3.0
STONE	178	153,329	52.0	22,188.3	86.0	8.1	0.7	1.0	1.9	2.4
SALAZAR	179	152,703	51.8	22,240.0	5.6	0.3	1.7	0.5	0.3	91.7
FOX	180	152,334	51.6	22,291.7	88.1	6.1	0.6	1.0	1.8	2.4
WARREN	181	152,147	51.6	22,343.2	70.1	23.9	0.6	0.8	2.3	2.3
MILLS	182	151,942	51.5	22,394.8	77.5	16.8	0.5	0.8	2.1	2.3
MEYER	183	150,895	51.2	22,445.9	94.8	0.5	0.7	0.3	1.4	2.3
RICE	184	149,500	50.7	22,496.6	77.6	16.7	0.6	0.7	2.0	2.3
SCHMIDT	185	147,034	49.9	22,546.4	95.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.2	2.3
GARZA	186	147,005	49.8	22,596.3	6.7	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.2	92.5
DANIELS	187	146,570	49.7	22,646.0	54.7	38.5	0.5	0.9	2.7	2.7
FERGUSON	188	146,426	49.6	22,695.6	74.8	19.2	0.6	0.6	2.2	2.5
NICHOLS	189	145,584	49.4	22,745.0	82.4	11.9	0.5	0.8	2.0	2.4
STEPHENS	190	144,646	49.0	22,794.0	73.9	20.3	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.5
SOTO	191	144,451	49.0	22,843.0	5.2	0.5	0.4	0.3	0.2	93.5
WEAVER	192	143,837	48.8	22,891.7	84.4	10.0	0.5	1.1	1.9	2.1
RYAN	193	143,452	48.6	22,940.4	91.7	3.0	0.9	0.4	1.5	2.6
GARDNER	194	142,894	48.4	22,988.8	75.0	19.4	0.6	0.7	2.0	2.3
PAYNE	195	142,601	48.3	23,037.1	71.6	22.6	0.5	0.6	2.4	2.4
GRANT	196	142,277	48.2	23,085.4	55.4	37.9	0.5	1.1	2.4	2.8
DUNN	197	141,427	47.9	23,133.3	80.1	14.4	0.8	0.6	1.9	2.3
KELLEY	198	140,693	47.7	23,181.0	83.8	10.8	0.6	0.7	2.0	2.2
SPENCER	199	139,951	47.4	23,228.5	69.4	23.2	0.8	1.5	2.5	2.7
HAWKINS	200	139,751	47.4	23,275.8	61.1	32.8	0.5	0.7	2.5	2.5
ARNOLD	201	138,893	47.1	23,322.9	81.3	13.3	0.6	0.6	1.9	2.4
PIERCE	202	138,629	47.0	23,369.9	81.0	12.9	0.5	1.1	2.1	2.5
VAZQUEZ	203	138,322	46.9	23,416.8	3.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	95.8
HANSEN	204	137,977	46.8	23,463.6	94.2	0.5	0.7	0.5	1.5	2.5
PETERS	205	137,513	46.6	23,510.2	82.9	10.4	1.0	1.3	1.9	2.6
SANTOS	206	137,232	46.5	23,556.7	20.1	2.3	13.3	0.3	1.9	62.2
HART	207	137,184	46.5	23,603.2	80.5	13.6	0.6	0.7	2.0	2.5
BRADLEY	208	136,720	46.4	23,649.6	69.1	25.1	0.4	0.8	2.2	2.3
KNIGHT	209	136,713	46.4	23,695.9	72.3	21.6	0.5	0.9	2.2	2.5

ELLIOTT	210	135,765	46.0	23,741.9	81.6	13.0	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.2
CUNNINGHAM	211	135,718	46.0	23,788.0	73.2	21.2	0.5	0.5	2.1	2.6
DUNCAN	212	135,187	45.8	23,833.8	76.4	17.3	0.5	1.0	2.2	2.6
ARMSTRONG	213	135,044	45.8	23,879.6	72.4	21.0	0.6	0.9	2.3	2.8
HUDSON	214	134,963	45.8	23,925.3	66.9	27.4	0.5	0.8	2.2	2.2
CARROLL	215	134,317	45.5	23,970.9	83.0	11.5	0.6	0.7	1.9	2.4
LANE	216	134,227	45.5	24,016.4	77.1	16.9	0.6	0.9	2.1	2.3
RILEY	217	133,872	45.4	24,061.7	72.8	21.3	0.5	0.9	2.1	2.5
ANDREWS	218	133,799	45.4	24,107.1	71.8	21.6	0.8	1.1	2.2	2.6
ALVARADO	219	133,501	45.3	24,152.4	4.3	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.2	94.4
RAY	220	133,171	45.2	24,197.5	75.0	17.5	1.9	0.9	2.1	2.6
DELGADO	221	132,985	45.1	24,242.6	5.6	0.7	0.8	0.2	0.3	92.5
BERRY	222	132,812	45.0	24,287.6	70.7	23.1	0.6	0.8	2.3	2.5
PERKINS	223	131,440	44.6	24,332.2	69.5	24.6	0.5	0.7	2.3	2.4
HOFFMAN	224	131,401	44.6	24,376.7	94.1	1.3	0.6	0.6	1.3	2.1
JOHNSTON	225	131,373	44.5	24,421.3	91.6	2.4	0.7	0.8	1.8	2.6
MATTHEWS	226	131,303	44.5	24,465.8	63.4	30.7	0.6	0.6	2.4	2.4
PENA	227	130,776	44.3	24,510.1	5.3	0.5	1.1	0.3	0.2	92.5
RICHARDS	228	130,529	44.3	24,554.4	78.7	14.9	0.7	1.0	2.0	2.8
CONTRERAS	229	130,164	44.1	24,598.5	4.2	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.2	94.6
WILLIS	230	130,152	44.1	24,642.6	62.2	31.1	0.5	1.3	2.6	2.3
CARPENTER	231	129,898	44.0	24,686.6	86.2	8.5	0.6	0.7	1.9	2.2
LAWRENCE	232	129,699	44.0	24,730.6	68.9	24.7	0.7	0.9	2.3	2.5
SANDOVAL	233	128,948	43.7	24,774.3	5.3	0.2	0.6	1.8	0.3	91.9
GUERRERO	234	128,677	43.6	24,817.9	4.6	0.3	2.2	0.2	0.4	92.3
GEORGE	235	128,625	43.6	24,861.5	66.5	18.5	7.6	2.2	2.0	3.2
CHAPMAN	236	127,939	43.4	24,904.9	79.5	14.6	0.6	0.8	2.0	2.5
RIOS	237	127,794	43.3	24,948.2	5.0	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.2	93.6
ESTRADA	238	127,470	43.2	24,991.5	4.6	0.4	1.7	0.2	0.3	92.9
ORTEGA	239	127,256	43.1	25,034.6	4.8	0.3	1.2	0.4	0.3	93.1
WATKINS	240	127,083	43.1	25,077.7	62.0	32.3	0.4	0.6	2.6	2.1
GREENE	241	126,101	42.8	25,120.4	67.7	26.5	0.5	0.7	2.3	2.2
NUNEZ	242	125,350	42.5	25,162.9	5.3	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.2	92.7
WHEELER	243	125,058	42.4	25,205.3	81.0	13.3	0.5	0.9	2.0	2.4
VALDEZ	244	124,995	42.4	25,247.7	6.3	0.5	3.4	0.7	0.6	88.7
HARPER	245	124,461	42.2	25,289.9	67.9	26.2	0.5	0.7	2.4	2.3

BURKE	246	122,877	41.7	25,331.5	85.9	8.8	0.7	0.5	1.7	2.4
LARSON	247	122,587	41.6	25,373.1	94.8	0.5	0.7	0.6	1.4	2.0
SANTIAGO	248	122,212	41.4	25,414.5	5.4	1.3	4.5	0.1	0.6	88.1
MALDONADO	249	121,526	41.2	25,455.7	5.0	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.2	93.7
MORRISON	250	121,130	41.1	25,496.8	79.1	14.8	0.6	1.0	2.0	2.5
FRANKLIN	251	120,621	40.9	25,537.7	54.4	38.8	0.5	0.8	2.8	2.7
CARLSON	252	120,552	40.9	25,578.6	94.8	0.5	0.8	0.5	1.4	2.1
AUSTIN	253	119,706	40.6	25,619.1	67.5	26.0	0.6	0.8	2.3	2.8
DOMINGUEZ	254	119,304	40.4	25,659.6	5.0	0.4	1.0	0.3	0.2	93.1
CARR	255	119,076	40.4	25,699.9	73.6	20.8	0.5	0.6	2.1	2.4
LAWSON	256	119,053	40.4	25,740.3	73.8	20.7	0.4	0.7	2.2	2.2
JACOBS	257	118,614	40.2	25,780.5	74.3	16.7	0.6	3.8	2.2	2.5
OBRIEN	258	118,557	40.2	25,820.7	93.9	1.3	0.8	0.4	1.4	2.3
LYNCH	259	117,708	39.9	25,860.6	82.3	11.7	0.6	1.1	1.8	2.5
SINGH	260	116,749	39.6	25,900.2	4.3	4.5	82.8	1.2	4.8	2.5
VEGA	261	116,673	39.6	25,939.7	5.5	0.6	0.7	0.2	0.3	92.7
BISHOP	262	116,618	39.5	25,979.3	84.4	10.1	0.6	0.6	1.9	2.4
MONTGOMERY	263	115,953	39.3	26,018.6	67.9	26.2	0.6	0.6	2.4	2.4
OLIVER	264	115,900	39.3	26,057.9	64.2	27.5	0.7	0.7	2.4	4.5
JENSEN	265	115,679	39.2	26,097.1	94.0	0.4	0.7	0.8	1.4	2.6
HARVEY	266	115,662	39.2	26,136.3	68.0	25.0	0.5	1.7	2.3	2.5
WILLIAMSON	267	114,959	39.0	26,175.3	77.2	17.4	0.5	0.7	1.9	2.3
GILBERT	268	114,940	39.0	26,214.2	77.8	15.9	0.6	0.7	2.0	3.0
DEAN	269	114,030	38.7	26,252.9	76.6	16.8	1.1	0.7	2.2	2.6
SIMS	270	113,374	38.4	26,291.3	54.3	39.9	0.4	0.6	2.6	2.2
ESPINOZA	271	112,154	38.0	26,329.4	4.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.2	94.9
HOWELL	272	112,041	38.0	26,367.3	79.5	15.1	0.5	0.7	1.8	2.5
LI	273	111,786	37.9	26,405.2	1.5	0.2	96.8	0.0	0.9	0.6
WONG	274	111,371	37.8	26,443.0	3.5	0.8	86.5	0.0	5.2	4.0
REID	275	111,360	37.8	26,480.7	59.8	34.1	0.6	0.6	2.3	2.6
HANSON	276	111,144	37.7	26,518.4	92.1	2.9	0.7	0.7	1.6	2.1
LE	277	110,967	37.6	26,556.0	1.5	0.2	95.6	0.0	1.9	0.8
MCCOY	278	110,744	37.5	26,593.6	66.9	26.7	0.5	0.9	2.4	2.6
GARRETT	279	110,697	37.5	26,631.1	70.0	24.5	0.4	0.6	2.3	2.2
BURTON	280	110,529	37.5	26,668.6	67.3	27.1	0.5	0.5	2.3	2.3
FULLER	281	110,116	37.3	26,705.9	73.2	21.1	0.6	0.6	2.2	2.3

WANG	282	109,883	37.3	26,743.2	2.6	0.3	95.2	0.0	1.5	0.4
WEBER	283	109,433	37.1	26,780.3	94.3	1.3	0.6	0.4	1.3	2.2
WELCH	284	108,987	37.0	26,817.2	83.5	10.4	0.5	1.1	1.9	2.6
ROJAS	285	108,421	36.8	26,854.0	4.1	0.4	0.8	0.1	0.2	94.5
LUCAS	286	107,690	36.5	26,890.5	69.1	18.5	1.6	0.5	2.2	8.1
MARQUEZ	287	107,533	36.5	26,926.9	5.1	0.5	2.8	0.4	0.5	90.7
FIELDS	288	107,522	36.5	26,963.4	57.7	35.8	0.4	1.2	2.7	2.3
PARK	289	106,696	36.2	26,999.5	23.3	0.8	73.0	0.2	1.7	1.0
YANG	290	106,033	36.0	27,035.5	1.0	0.2	96.8	0.0	1.5	0.5
LITTLE	291	105,936	35.9	27,071.4	70.1	24.3	0.4	1.3	1.9	2.0
BANKS	292	105,833	35.9	27,107.3	39.3	54.5	0.4	0.4	3.0	2.5
PADILLA	293	105,365	35.7	27,143.0	6.2	0.4	2.1	0.8	0.4	90.2
DAY	294	105,091	35.6	27,178.6	83.1	10.4	1.0	1.1	2.0	2.4
WALSH	295	105,079	35.6	27,214.2	94.5	1.1	0.7	0.2	1.4	2.2
BOWMAN	296	105,007	35.6	27,249.8	80.8	13.6	0.5	0.8	2.1	2.2
SCHULTZ	297	104,888	35.6	27,285.4	94.8	0.7	0.6	0.4	1.3	2.2
LUNA	298	104,518	35.4	27,320.8	8.2	0.4	1.8	0.4	0.4	88.8
FOWLER	299	104,515	35.4	27,356.3	81.4	12.9	0.5	1.0	2.1	2.2
MEJIA	300	104,057	35.3	27,391.5	3.4	0.5	1.3	0.1	0.2	94.5
DAVIDSON	301	103,930	35.2	27,426.8	84.4	10.1	0.7	0.7	1.9	2.4
ACOSTA	302	103,418	35.1	27,461.8	7.0	0.6	2.3	0.3	0.4	89.5
BREWER	303	103,318	35.0	27,496.9	81.3	12.6	0.4	1.4	2.1	2.2
MAY	304	103,306	35.0	27,531.9	83.6	9.6	1.2	0.7	1.9	3.0
HOLLAND	305	102,538	34.8	27,566.6	76.8	17.7	0.5	0.6	2.2	2.4
JUAREZ	306	101,949	34.6	27,601.2	3.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	95.7
NEWMAN	307	101,931	34.6	27,635.8	83.0	11.1	0.6	0.7	2.0	2.6
PEARSON	308	101,836	34.5	27,670.3	72.1	22.5	0.6	0.4	2.1	2.2
CURTIS	309	101,801	34.5	27,704.8	77.9	15.8	0.5	1.0	2.1	2.6
CORTEZ	310	101,694	34.5	27,739.3	6.0	0.7	2.9	0.3	0.4	89.7
DOUGLAS	311	101,458	34.4	27,773.7	58.6	34.7	0.5	0.7	2.7	2.8
SCHNEIDER	312	101,290	34.3	27,808.0	95.4	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.2	2.2
JOSEPH	313	100,959	34.2	27,842.2	29.6	54.2	9.8	1.0	2.5	3.0
BARRETT	314	100,104	33.9	27,876.2	80.7	13.4	0.7	0.6	2.0	2.7
NAVARRO	315	99,807	33.8	27,910.0	6.7	0.4	4.0	0.2	0.6	88.1
FIGUEROA	316	98,468	33.4	27,943.4	4.9	0.8	1.0	0.2	0.3	92.8
KELLER	317	98,268	33.3	27,976.7	91.4	3.9	0.6	0.5	1.5	2.3

AVILA	318	97,314	33.0	28,009.7	7.0	0.4	1.1	0.2	0.3	91.0
WADE	319	97,040	32.9	28,042.6	64.9	29.1	0.5	0.7	2.4	2.3
MOLINA	320	96,979	32.9	28,075.5	5.8	0.5	2.3	0.6	0.4	90.3
STANLEY	321	96,867	32.8	28,108.3	78.8	15.1	0.7	1.2	2.0	2.3
HOPKINS	322	96,810	32.8	28,141.1	73.6	20.7	0.5	0.9	2.3	2.1
CAMPOS	323	96,111	32.6	28,173.7	7.1	0.4	1.4	0.2	0.4	90.5
BARNETT	324	95,681	32.4	28,206.1	76.4	17.5	0.4	0.9	2.2	2.6
BATES	325	95,622	32.4	28,238.6	75.4	18.9	0.6	0.8	2.0	2.4
CHAMBERS	326	94,988	32.2	28,270.8	65.7	28.5	0.5	0.6	2.3	2.5
CALDWELL	327	93,944	31.9	28,302.6	68.1	26.2	0.4	0.7	2.3	2.3
BECK	328	93,786	31.8	28,334.4	90.3	4.5	0.7	0.6	1.7	2.2
LAMBERT	329	93,678	31.8	28,366.2	83.7	9.9	0.6	1.3	1.8	2.8
MIRANDA	330	93,628	31.7	28,397.9	12.3	1.3	4.2	0.4	0.8	81.0
BYRD	331	92,904	31.5	28,429.4	59.0	34.6	0.4	1.3	2.6	2.1
CRAIG	332	92,507	31.4	28,460.7	79.0	15.2	0.6	0.8	2.1	2.4
AYALA	333	92,463	31.4	28,492.1	4.4	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	94.3
LOWE	334	92,260	31.3	28,523.4	73.0	19.4	1.7	1.0	2.4	2.6
FRAZIER	335	92,152	31.2	28,554.6	56.1	37.4	0.4	1.4	2.5	2.3
POWERS	336	91,970	31.2	28,585.8	89.6	5.2	0.7	0.6	1.7	2.4
NEAL	337	91,694	31.1	28,616.9	62.1	31.8	0.6	0.7	2.6	2.2
LEONARD	338	91,475	31.0	28,647.9	80.4	14.1	0.6	0.8	1.8	2.4
GREGORY	339	91,384	31.0	28,678.9	78.6	15.6	0.6	0.8	2.0	2.5
CARRILLO	340	91,129	30.9	28,709.8	5.1	0.2	0.7	0.4	0.2	93.3
SUTTON	341	90,964	30.8	28,740.6	72.8	21.7	0.5	0.7	2.2	2.1
FLEMING	342	90,677	30.7	28,771.3	71.7	22.8	0.6	0.5	2.2	2.2
RHODES	343	90,670	30.7	28,802.1	74.8	20.0	0.5	0.6	1.9	2.2
SHELTON	344	90,517	30.7	28,832.8	73.5	20.6	0.5	0.7	2.5	2.2
SCHWARTZ	345	90,071	30.5	28,863.3	95.9	0.4	0.6	0.2	1.0	1.9
NORRIS	346	89,796	30.4	28,893.7	79.9	14.4	0.5	0.9	2.0	2.2
JENNINGS	347	89,700	30.4	28,924.1	70.9	23.1	0.7	0.7	2.3	2.5
WATTS	348	89,649	30.4	28,954.5	68.6	25.5	0.5	0.8	2.4	2.3
DURAN	349	89,401	30.3	28,984.8	9.9	0.5	1.2	0.8	0.4	87.1
WALTERS	350	89,376	30.3	29,015.1	82.9	11.3	0.7	0.8	1.7	2.6
COHEN	351	89,091	30.2	29,045.3	88.9	6.0	0.7	0.1	1.1	3.3
MCDANIEL	352	88,728	30.1	29,075.4	76.2	18.4	0.4	0.6	2.1	2.4
MORAN	353	88,615	30.0	29,105.5	64.1	2.4	0.7	0.8	1.1	30.8

PARKS	354	88,586	30.0	29,135.5	69.4	24.9	0.6	0.7	2.4	2.1
STEELE	355	88,230	29.9	29,165.4	78.3	15.6	0.5	1.2	2.0	2.4
VAUGHN	356	88,060	29.9	29,195.3	71.5	22.7	0.4	0.8	2.3	2.3
BECKER	357	87,859	29.8	29,225.0	94.9	0.5	0.7	0.3	1.3	2.3
HOLT	358	87,531	29.7	29,254.7	79.4	14.9	0.6	0.9	2.2	2.1
DELEON	359	87,414	29.6	29,284.4	6.6	1.0	8.3	0.2	0.7	83.1
BARKER	360	87,162	29.6	29,313.9	86.5	7.8	0.7	0.7	1.9	2.4
TERRY	361	87,000	29.5	29,343.4	66.3	27.3	0.6	0.7	2.4	2.7
HALE	362	86,618	29.4	29,372.8	82.2	11.4	0.5	1.2	2.2	2.4
LEON	363	86,363	29.3	29,402.0	10.0	3.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	85.2
HAIL	364	86,240	29.2	29,431.3	73.1	21.6	0.4	0.5	2.1	2.3
BENSON	365	86,081	29.2	29,460.5	77.9	16.2	0.8	0.8	2.0	2.4
HAYNES	366	85,974	29.2	29,489.6	61.1	33.2	0.4	0.6	2.3	2.5
HORTON	367	85,195	28.9	29,518.5	70.0	24.3	0.5	0.7	2.3	2.2
MILES	368	84,942	28.8	29,547.3	61.3	32.4	0.5	0.8	2.6	2.4
LYONS	369	84,516	28.7	29,575.9	74.9	19.2	0.5	1.0	2.1	2.3
PHAM	370	84,320	28.6	29,604.5	0.9	0.2	96.3	0.0	1.8	0.7
GRAVES	371	84,179	28.5	29,633.1	69.6	24.2	0.5	0.7	2.4	2.6
BUSH	372	84,018	28.5	29,661.5	71.7	22.4	0.6	0.8	2.2	2.3
THORNTON	373	83,967	28.5	29,690.0	67.4	27.1	0.5	0.6	2.3	2.1
WOLFE	374	83,928	28.5	29,718.5	90.8	3.6	0.5	1.4	1.7	2.1
WARNER	375	83,781	28.4	29,746.9	84.2	10.2	0.7	0.6	1.9	2.4
CABRERA	376	83,621	28.4	29,775.2	4.5	0.4	3.5	0.1	0.5	91.0
MCKINNEY	377	83,616	28.4	29,803.5	68.8	25.2	0.4	0.9	2.4	2.3
MANN	378	83,510	28.3	29,831.9	80.4	9.9	3.6	1.3	2.1	2.7
ZIMMERMAN	379	83,265	28.2	29,860.1	93.8	2.3	0.5	0.3	1.2	1.9
DAWSON	380	83,182	28.2	29,888.3	68.3	25.9	0.6	0.6	2.3	2.3
LARA	381	83,067	28.2	29,916.4	5.7	0.4	1.1	0.3	0.2	92.3
FLETCHER	382	83,063	28.2	29,944.6	72.9	20.9	0.6	0.7	2.3	2.6
PAGE	383	82,992	28.1	29,972.7	75.1	18.9	0.7	0.7	2.0	2.6
MCCARTHY	384	82,950	28.1	30,000.9	92.3	3.3	0.7	0.3	1.3	2.2
LOVE	385	82,873	28.1	30,029.0	56.5	37.0	0.5	0.5	2.9	2.5
ROBLES	386	82,458	28.0	30,056.9	5.1	0.4	2.1	0.4	0.3	91.8
CERVANTES	387	82,161	27.9	30,084.8	3.3	0.2	0.9	0.2	0.1	95.3
SOLIS	388	82,146	27.9	30,112.6	5.1	0.4	1.6	0.2	0.2	92.4
ERICKSON	389	82,085	27.8	30,140.4	95.1	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.4	1.9

REEVES	390	81,978	27.8	30,168.2	77.3	16.5	0.6	0.8	2.1	2.7
CHANG	391	81,939	27.8	30,196.0	2.4	0.9	88.8	0.0	4.2	3.7
KLEIN	392	81,471	27.6	30,223.6	95.4	0.3	0.6	0.2	1.1	2.3
SALINAS	393	81,156	27.5	30,251.1	5.6	0.3	0.9	0.2	0.2	92.9
FUENTES	394	81,006	27.5	30,278.6	4.6	0.4	1.3	0.2	0.3	93.2
BALDWIN	395	80,742	27.4	30,306.0	76.9	17.3	0.5	0.9	2.0	2.4
DANIEL	396	80,526	27.3	30,333.3	62.8	25.6	3.2	0.7	2.2	5.6
SIMON	397	80,460	27.3	30,360.5	65.1	22.5	2.8	0.7	1.9	7.1
VELASQUEZ	398	80,364	27.2	30,387.8	4.8	0.7	1.7	0.4	0.2	92.2
HARDY	399	80,252	27.2	30,415.0	61.5	32.3	0.5	1.2	2.3	2.2
HIGGINS	400	79,803	27.1	30,442.1	83.9	10.8	0.6	0.6	1.9	2.3
AGUIRRE	401	79,517	27.0	30,469.0	5.1	0.3	1.3	0.2	0.3	92.9
LIN	402	79,508	27.0	30,496.0	1.7	0.2	95.9	0.0	1.8	0.5
CUMMINGS	403	79,316	26.9	30,522.9	72.5	19.7	0.7	2.3	2.3	2.5
CHANDLER	404	79,186	26.8	30,549.7	74.5	19.6	0.6	0.7	2.2	2.4
SHARP	405	78,990	26.8	30,576.5	83.6	10.6	0.6	1.0	1.9	2.3
BARBER	406	78,848	26.7	30,603.2	75.5	18.4	0.6	1.0	2.1	2.4
BOWEN	407	78,822	26.7	30,629.9	82.1	12.0	0.6	0.7	2.0	2.7
OCHOA	408	78,677	26.7	30,656.6	4.5	0.3	0.5	0.4	0.2	94.1
DENNIS	409	78,482	26.6	30,683.2	65.6	27.8	0.6	0.8	2.3	2.9
ROBBINS	410	78,381	26.6	30,709.8	87.6	7.2	0.5	0.9	1.7	2.1
LIU	411	78,370	26.6	30,736.3	1.8	0.2	95.6	0.0	1.9	0.5
RAMSEY	412	78,350	26.6	30,762.9	77.8	16.5	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.5
FRANCIS	413	78,327	26.6	30,789.5	59.5	30.3	2.0	2.1	2.4	3.8
GRIFFITH	414	78,260	26.5	30,816.0	83.9	10.4	0.6	0.7	1.9	2.6
PAUL	415	78,256	26.5	30,842.5	67.0	20.1	6.3	2.1	1.9	2.7
BLAIR	416	78,026	26.5	30,869.0	79.4	14.7	0.6	0.7	2.2	2.5
OCONNOR	417	77,923	26.4	30,895.4	92.8	2.1	0.8	0.3	1.4	2.6
CARDENAS	418	77,652	26.3	30,921.7	4.6	0.3	1.0	0.2	0.2	93.7
PACHECO	419	77,642	26.3	30,948.0	15.3	0.6	1.2	0.7	0.8	81.4
CROSS	420	77,557	26.3	30,974.3	75.5	18.3	0.6	0.9	2.3	2.5
CALDERON	421	77,085	26.1	31,000.5	5.0	0.6	1.5	0.2	0.3	92.6
QUINN	422	76,986	26.1	31,026.6	86.2	8.3	0.7	0.5	1.6	2.6
MOSS	423	76,908	26.1	31,052.6	69.7	24.7	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.3
SWANSON	424	76,897	26.1	31,078.7	90.4	4.8	0.7	0.5	1.5	2.1
CHAN	425	76,664	26.0	31,104.7	2.8	0.8	89.3	0.1	2.5	4.6

RIVAS	426	76,205	25.8	31,130.5	4.9	0.6	0.4	0.3	0.2	93.7
KHAN	427	76,171	25.8	31,156.3	6.9	3.9	81.3	0.5	5.3	2.2
RODGERS	428	76,095	25.8	31,182.1	70.2	23.8	0.5	0.6	2.2	2.7
SERRANO	429	75,996	25.8	31,207.9	5.6	0.7	2.9	0.1	0.3	90.3
FITZGERALD	430	75,356	25.6	31,233.4	86.3	8.8	0.7	0.3	1.7	2.2
ROSALES	431	75,185	25.5	31,258.9	3.6	0.3	2.4	0.2	0.3	93.2
STEVENSON	432	75,169	25.5	31,284.4	63.4	30.2	0.6	0.5	2.5	2.7
CHRISTENSEN	433	75,143	25.5	31,309.9	94.4	0.4	0.7	0.6	1.5	2.4
MANNING	434	74,949	25.4	31,335.3	73.9	20.3	0.6	0.8	2.0	2.4
GILL	435	74,948	25.4	31,360.7	65.2	14.0	13.4	0.6	2.1	4.7
CURRY	436	74,919	25.4	31,386.1	59.2	34.9	0.5	0.5	2.4	2.5
MCLAUGHLIN	437	74,816	25.4	31,411.5	88.0	7.1	0.6	0.5	1.4	2.4
HARMON	438	74,737	25.3	31,436.8	77.3	17.0	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.3
MCGEE	439	74,542	25.3	31,462.1	62.3	31.5	0.5	0.7	2.7	2.3
GROSS	440	74,503	25.3	31,487.3	84.9	10.3	0.5	0.3	1.5	2.5
DOYLE	441	74,458	25.2	31,512.6	89.1	5.7	0.7	0.5	1.7	2.4
GARNER	442	74,324	25.2	31,537.8	71.7	22.8	0.5	0.5	2.1	2.3
NEWTON	443	74,092	25.1	31,562.9	75.7	18.4	0.6	0.7	2.1	2.6
BURGESS	444	73,931	25.1	31,588.0	75.4	18.7	0.6	1.0	2.2	2.2
REESE	445	73,919	25.1	31,613.0	66.1	28.2	0.4	0.6	2.1	2.6
WALTON	446	73,854	25.0	31,638.0	60.4	34.2	0.4	0.5	2.4	2.1
BLAKE	447	73,797	25.0	31,663.1	71.2	22.6	0.6	0.8	2.1	2.7
TRUJILLO	448	73,664	25.0	31,688.0	8.4	0.2	0.3	1.6	0.4	89.1
ADKINS	449	73,599	25.0	31,713.0	87.2	8.0	0.4	0.8	1.8	1.7
BRADY	450	73,145	24.8	31,737.8	87.3	6.9	0.6	1.0	1.7	2.7
GOODMAN	451	73,136	24.8	31,762.6	78.3	15.8	0.6	1.0	1.8	2.4
ROMAN	452	72,918	24.7	31,787.3	20.8	1.9	1.0	0.2	0.5	75.7
WEBSTER	453	72,625	24.6	31,811.9	73.5	19.8	0.6	1.4	2.3	2.5
GOODWIN	454	72,451	24.6	31,836.5	77.0	17.2	0.5	0.9	2.1	2.3
FISCHER	455	72,357	24.5	31,861.0	94.3	0.9	0.6	0.4	1.2	2.6
HUANG	456	72,328	24.5	31,885.5	0.9	0.1	97.1	0.0	1.4	0.4
POTTER	457	72,175	24.5	31,910.0	89.1	5.1	0.6	0.8	2.0	2.5
DELACRUZ	458	72,109	24.5	31,934.4	3.9	0.4	16.8	0.2	1.5	77.2
MONTOYA	459	71,844	24.4	31,958.8	8.7	0.3	0.9	1.4	0.4	88.4
TODD	460	71,759	24.3	31,983.1	80.8	13.6	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.3
WU	461	71,721	24.3	32,007.4	1.4	0.2	96.4	0.0	1.6	0.5

HINES	462	71,717	24.3	32,031.8	57.7	36.7	0.5	0.5	2.3	2.3
MULLINS	463	71,646	24.3	32,056.0	88.8	6.9	0.4	0.5	1.8	1.7
CASTANEDA	464	71,368	24.2	32,080.2	4.1	0.2	1.8	0.2	0.3	93.4
MALONE	465	71,286	24.2	32,104.4	67.3	26.8	0.6	0.7	2.2	2.5
CANNON	466	71,085	24.1	32,128.5	69.8	24.5	0.5	0.5	2.3	2.4
TATE	467	71,058	24.1	32,152.6	55.6	38.3	0.6	0.8	2.5	2.1
MACK	468	71,056	24.1	32,176.7	44.8	49.1	0.7	0.5	2.6	2.4
SHERMAN	469	70,502	23.9	32,200.6	82.7	11.6	0.6	1.0	1.8	2.3
HUBBARD	470	70,362	23.9	32,224.4	71.1	23.2	0.5	0.9	2.3	2.2
HODGES	471	70,223	23.8	32,248.2	73.4	20.9	0.5	0.6	2.1	2.6
ZHANG	472	70,125	23.8	32,272.0	1.0	0.2	98.1	0.0	0.6	0.2
GUERRA	473	70,071	23.8	32,295.8	11.3	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.3	86.6
WOLF	474	70,031	23.7	32,319.5	93.7	1.1	0.6	1.1	1.5	2.2
VALENCIA	475	70,000	23.7	32,343.2	5.3	0.5	3.3	1.2	0.5	89.2
SAUNDERS	476	69,943	23.7	32,366.9	67.4	26.1	0.6	0.7	2.6	2.6
FRANCO	476	69,943	23.7	32,390.7	15.8	0.6	1.7	0.3	0.5	81.0
ROWE	478	69,879	23.7	32,414.3	79.3	15.1	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.5
GALLAGHER	479	69,834	23.7	32,438.0	94.6	0.7	0.6	0.3	1.3	2.5
FARMER	480	69,617	23.6	32,461.6	77.2	17.5	0.5	1.1	1.8	2.1
HAMMOND	481	69,515	23.6	32,485.2	78.2	16.1	0.6	0.7	2.2	2.2
HAMPTON	482	69,472	23.6	32,508.7	53.7	40.3	0.4	0.7	2.7	2.2
TOWNSEND	483	69,360	23.5	32,532.3	67.7	26.4	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.4
INGRAM	484	69,345	23.5	32,555.8	61.5	33.0	0.4	0.5	2.3	2.4
WISE	485	68,649	23.3	32,579.0	78.6	15.6	0.6	0.7	2.1	2.4
GALLEGOS	486	68,373	23.2	32,602.2	7.7	0.2	0.5	0.5	0.3	90.9
CLARKE	487	68,281	23.2	32,625.4	61.0	32.1	0.6	0.4	2.3	3.6
BARTON	488	68,233	23.1	32,648.5	85.1	8.0	0.6	1.3	1.9	3.1
SCHROEDER	489	67,977	23.0	32,671.5	95.4	0.3	0.6	0.5	1.1	2.1
MAXWELL	490	67,961	23.0	32,694.6	73.2	20.6	0.6	0.6	2.2	2.7
WATERS	491	67,929	23.0	32,717.6	73.4	20.7	0.6	0.9	2.1	2.4
LOGAN	492	67,909	23.0	32,740.6	64.8	27.3	0.7	1.1	2.9	3.2
CAMACHO	493	67,893	23.0	32,763.6	6.1	0.6	2.9	0.2	0.7	89.6
STRICKLAND	494	67,769	23.0	32,786.6	76.2	17.2	0.5	2.2	2.0	2.0
NORMAN	495	67,704	23.0	32,809.6	69.0	25.1	0.6	0.6	2.3	2.4
PERSON	496	67,411	22.9	32,832.4	45.6	34.5	4.1	1.1	1.8	12.9
COLON	497	67,338	22.8	32,855.2	7.6	1.9	0.3	0.1	0.3	89.8

PARSONS	498	67,310	22.8	32,878.1	91.1	3.6	0.6	0.7	1.8	2.3
FRANK	499	67,304	22.8	32,900.9	84.5	8.0	1.0	2.2	1.6	2.7
HARRINGTON	500	66,959	22.7	32,923.6	82.0	12.4	0.7	0.6	1.8	2.4
GLOVER	501	66,858	22.7	32,946.2	52.6	41.7	0.5	0.5	2.5	2.2
OSBORNE	502	66,827	22.7	32,968.9	82.3	12.4	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.2
BUCHANAN	503	66,648	22.6	32,991.5	77.4	17.0	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.4
CASEY	504	66,556	22.6	33,014.1	86.9	7.5	0.7	0.7	1.9	2.4
FLOYD	505	66,454	22.5	33,036.6	65.4	29.2	0.4	0.7	2.3	2.0
PATTON	506	66,293	22.5	33,059.1	73.1	20.9	0.5	0.9	2.3	2.4
IBARRA	507	66,063	22.4	33,081.5	3.0	0.2	1.2	0.1	0.2	95.3
BALL	508	66,059	22.4	33,103.9	82.3	12.0	1.0	0.6	1.8	2.4
TYLER	509	66,056	22.4	33,126.2	62.0	31.0	0.5	1.4	2.7	2.5
SUAREZ	510	66,013	22.4	33,148.6	6.8	0.6	2.1	0.1	0.3	90.1
BOWERS	511	66,003	22.4	33,171.0	83.4	11.5	0.5	0.6	1.7	2.3
OROZCO	512	65,904	22.3	33,193.3	3.4	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.1	95.8
SALAS	513	65,468	22.2	33,215.5	6.5	0.4	2.1	0.3	0.6	90.2
COBB	514	65,125	22.1	33,237.6	70.0	24.8	0.4	0.6	2.1	2.0
GIBBS	515	65,064	22.1	33,259.7	65.9	28.3	0.5	0.7	2.3	2.4
ANDRADE	516	65,037	22.1	33,281.7	15.4	3.2	1.6	0.3	1.3	78.2
BAUER	517	65,004	22.0	33,303.8	95.1	0.4	0.6	0.4	1.2	2.4
CONNER	518	64,572	21.9	33,325.6	78.6	16.2	0.5	0.6	2.0	2.2
MOODY	519	64,429	21.8	33,347.5	71.3	22.9	0.4	0.8	2.0	2.7
ESCOBAR	520	64,403	21.8	33,369.3	5.2	0.4	1.6	0.2	0.3	92.4
MCGUIRE	521	64,327	21.8	33,391.1	88.0	6.3	0.7	0.6	1.9	2.6
LLOYD	522	64,202	21.8	33,412.9	72.6	22.1	0.6	0.4	2.0	2.3
MUELLER	523	64,191	21.8	33,434.7	95.7	0.3	0.6	0.2	1.1	2.0
HARTMAN	524	64,106	21.7	33,456.4	93.9	1.6	0.7	0.5	1.3	2.1
FRENCH	525	63,991	21.7	33,478.1	85.8	8.1	0.7	1.1	1.9	2.5
KRAMER	526	63,936	21.7	33,499.8	95.4	0.3	0.6	0.3	1.2	2.2
MCBRIDE	527	63,899	21.7	33,521.4	74.3	19.9	0.4	0.8	2.0	2.6
POPE	528	63,881	21.7	33,543.1	70.8	23.8	0.5	0.6	2.1	2.2
LINDSEY	529	63,760	21.6	33,564.7	67.8	25.7	0.8	0.7	2.7	2.3
VELAZQUEZ	530	63,736	21.6	33,586.3	3.3	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	96.0
NORTON	531	63,722	21.6	33,607.9	87.8	6.6	0.6	1.2	1.8	2.1
MCCORMICK	532	63,649	21.6	33,629.5	87.3	7.6	0.6	0.4	1.6	2.5
SPARKS	533	63,440	21.5	33,651.0	84.2	10.4	0.4	0.7	2.0	2.2

FLYNN	534	63,400	21.5	33,672.5	91.9	3.1	0.7	0.6	1.5	2.3
YATES	535	63,254	21.4	33,693.9	80.6	14.1	0.5	0.5	2.1	2.3
HOGAN	536	63,085	21.4	33,715.3	80.1	14.4	0.5	0.6	1.8	2.5
MARSH	537	62,304	21.1	33,736.4	82.7	12.0	0.5	0.6	1.9	2.2
MACIAS	538	62,227	21.1	33,757.5	4.9	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	94.1
VILLANUEVA	539	61,883	21.0	33,778.5	4.3	0.4	12.3	0.3	1.0	81.8
ZAMORA	540	61,729	20.9	33,799.4	5.4	0.3	2.5	0.3	0.3	91.2
PRATT	541	61,671	20.9	33,820.3	78.0	15.7	0.6	1.0	2.0	2.6
STOKES	542	61,639	20.9	33,841.2	58.3	36.0	0.4	0.5	2.4	2.5
OWEN	543	61,630	20.9	33,862.1	91.8	2.4	0.7	0.9	1.7	2.5
BALLARD	544	61,625	20.9	33,883.0	75.3	19.2	0.4	0.8	2.1	2.2
LANG	545	61,529	20.9	33,903.9	81.4	10.4	3.3	0.6	1.8	2.5
BROCK	546	61,369	20.8	33,924.7	83.0	11.7	0.5	0.8	2.0	2.0
VILLARREAL	547	61,355	20.8	33,945.5	6.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	92.9
CHARLES	548	61,211	20.8	33,966.2	33.7	53.0	1.0	2.1	2.4	7.9
DRAKE	549	61,162	20.7	33,987.0	79.6	14.9	0.5	0.6	2.0	2.3
BARRERA	550	60,998	20.7	34,007.6	5.0	0.3	1.1	0.2	0.2	93.2
CAIN	551	60,948	20.7	34,028.3	77.2	17.0	0.8	0.6	2.1	2.3
PATRICK	552	60,845	20.6	34,048.9	73.7	20.4	0.7	1.0	2.0	2.2
PINEDA	553	60,820	20.6	34,069.5	3.3	0.3	5.3	0.1	0.3	90.7
BURNETT	554	60,791	20.6	34,090.2	69.9	24.1	0.4	0.7	2.5	2.4
MERCADO	555	60,761	20.6	34,110.8	5.2	0.6	6.9	0.1	0.6	86.6
SANTANA	556	60,667	20.6	34,131.3	6.1	1.3	0.5	0.1	0.3	91.6
SHEPHERD	557	60,479	20.5	34,151.8	78.6	15.9	0.5	0.9	2.0	2.0
BAUTISTA	558	60,264	20.4	34,172.3	3.2	0.3	18.2	0.3	1.3	76.8
ALI	559	60,002	20.3	34,192.6	17.3	30.7	42.9	0.5	5.7	2.9
SHAFFER	560	59,943	20.3	34,212.9	93.1	2.5	0.6	0.4	1.5	1.9
LAMB	561	59,913	20.3	34,233.2	86.1	8.6	0.7	0.5	1.7	2.3
TREVINO	562	59,882	20.3	34,253.5	7.8	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	91.1
MCKENZIE	563	59,595	20.2	34,273.7	64.6	28.6	0.7	0.7	2.3	3.0
HESS	564	59,486	20.2	34,293.9	94.6	0.9	0.6	0.5	1.4	2.0
BEIL	565	59,463	20.2	34,314.1	62.1	32.1	0.3	0.9	2.3	2.3
OLSEN	566	59,356	20.1	34,334.2	93.7	0.3	0.8	0.8	1.8	2.7
COCHRAN	567	59,350	20.1	34,354.3	85.3	9.1	0.5	1.2	1.7	2.2
MORTON	568	59,213	20.1	34,374.4	74.0	19.7	0.7	0.9	2.3	2.5
NASH	569	58,714	19.9	34,394.3	69.3	24.6	0.5	0.8	2.4	2.3

WILKINS	570	58,634	19.9	34,414.2	63.1	30.6	0.4	0.9	2.4	2.7
PETERSEN	571	58,480	19.8	34,434.0	93.4	1.3	0.8	0.4	1.6	2.5
BRIGGS	572	58,408	19.8	34,453.8	75.9	18.6	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.2
SHAH	573	58,287	19.8	34,473.5	3.6	0.9	91.7	0.3	2.7	0.7
ROTH	574	58,278	19.8	34,493.3	94.9	0.5	0.9	0.3	1.2	2.3
NICHOLSON	575	58,151	19.7	34,513.0	74.3	19.9	0.5	0.6	2.2	2.5
HOLLOWAY	576	58,040	19.7	34,532.7	58.3	36.1	0.4	0.6	2.5	2.1
LOZANO	577	57,779	19.6	34,552.3	6.0	0.3	1.6	0.2	0.3	91.7
RANGEL	578	57,549	19.5	34,571.8	6.0	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	93.0
FLOWERS	578	57,549	19.5	34,591.3	53.1	40.3	0.4	0.6	2.8	2.8
HOOVER	580	57,497	19.5	34,610.8	92.0	3.4	0.6	0.6	1.5	1.9
SHORT	581	57,477	19.5	34,630.3	84.1	10.2	0.6	0.9	2.0	2.2
ARIAS	581	57,477	19.5	34,649.8	5.1	0.4	1.1	0.1	0.2	93.1
MORA	583	57,464	19.5	34,669.2	7.4	0.5	1.1	0.3	0.3	90.6
VALENZUELA	584	57,383	19.5	34,688.7	5.0	0.2	2.4	1.7	0.4	90.4
BRYAN	585	57,143	19.4	34,708.1	81.3	11.8	0.6	0.6	1.8	3.8
MEYERS	586	57,127	19.4	34,727.4	89.8	4.6	0.8	0.6	1.8	2.4
WEISS	587	57,112	19.4	34,746.8	95.6	0.4	0.7	0.2	1.0	2.2
UNDERWOOD	588	57,064	19.4	34,766.1	79.2	15.0	0.5	1.1	2.0	2.2
BASS	589	57,044	19.3	34,785.5	69.2	24.5	0.5	0.8	2.3	2.7
GREER	590	57,043	19.3	34,804.8	73.3	21.3	0.5	0.5	2.1	2.4
SUMMERS	591	56,953	19.3	34,824.1	78.9	14.8	0.5	1.1	2.3	2.3
HOUSTON	592	56,900	19.3	34,843.4	52.1	41.5	0.5	0.6	2.8	2.5
CARSON	593	56,872	19.3	34,862.7	72.3	21.7	0.6	0.8	2.3	2.4
MORROW	594	56,840	19.3	34,882.0	79.9	14.7	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.2
CLAYTON	595	56,638	19.2	34,901.2	69.1	25.5	0.5	0.5	2.2	2.2
WHITAKER	596	56,616	19.2	34,920.3	70.7	24.3	0.5	0.5	2.0	2.0
DECKER	597	56,576	19.2	34,939.5	94.0	0.9	0.5	0.6	1.6	2.5
YODER	598	56,410	19.1	34,958.7	97.8	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.7	0.9
COLLIER	599	56,380	19.1	34,977.8	67.0	27.4	0.5	0.6	2.4	2.2
ZUNIGA	600	56,347	19.1	34,996.9	4.5	0.5	0.8	0.2	0.2	93.9
CAREY	601	56,322	19.1	35,016.0	80.9	13.5	0.6	0.7	2.1	2.3
WILCOX	602	56,286	19.1	35,035.0	83.9	10.4	0.6	0.8	1.9	2.5
MELENDEZ	603	56,230	19.1	35,054.1	5.0	1.0	0.5	0.2	0.3	93.1
POOLE	604	56,226	19.1	35,073.2	72.6	22.7	0.5	0.4	2.0	1.9
ROBERSON	605	56,180	19.1	35,092.2	51.3	42.8	0.4	0.5	2.7	2.2

LARSEN	606	55,960	19.0	35,111.2	94.2	0.5	0.8	0.7	1.5	2.4
CONLEY	607	55,917	19.0	35,130.1	79.8	15.4	0.4	0.6	2.0	1.9
DAVENPORT	608	55,895	19.0	35,149.1	71.8	22.3	0.5	0.7	2.4	2.4
COPELAND	609	55,850	18.9	35,168.0	65.9	28.3	0.5	0.7	2.4	2.3
MASSEY	610	55,595	18.9	35,186.9	73.2	20.4	1.1	1.1	2.0	2.3
LAM	611	55,554	18.8	35,205.7	6.8	0.6	88.0	0.1	2.0	2.6
HUFF	612	55,484	18.8	35,224.5	81.4	13.4	0.5	0.7	1.9	2.1
ROCHA	613	55,251	18.7	35,243.2	16.5	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.5	81.1
CAMERON	614	55,240	18.7	35,262.0	75.5	17.9	0.7	0.7	2.1	3.1
JEFFERSON	615	55,179	18.7	35,280.7	17.5	74.2	0.4	1.9	3.5	2.5
HOOD	616	55,174	18.7	35,299.4	74.1	20.2	0.5	1.0	2.1	2.2
MONROE	617	55,136	18.7	35,318.1	62.9	29.3	0.5	1.5	2.5	3.3
ANTHONY	618	55,114	18.7	35,336.8	59.8	31.9	1.5	0.6	2.3	3.8
PITTMAN	619	55,021	18.7	35,355.4	63.6	31.3	0.4	0.7	2.1	2.0
HUYNH	620	54,996	18.6	35,374.1	0.8	0.1	96.7	0.0	1.7	0.7
RANDALL	621	54,764	18.6	35,392.6	74.7	19.1	0.6	1.2	2.2	2.3
SINGLETON	622	54,621	18.5	35,411.1	45.9	48.6	0.4	0.4	2.5	2.2
KIRK	623	54,394	18.4	35,429.6	82.4	10.6	1.3	1.4	2.1	2.3
COMBS	624	54,257	18.4	35,448.0	86.1	9.1	0.5	0.6	1.9	1.9
MATHIS	625	54,217	18.4	35,466.3	61.9	32.7	0.3	0.6	2.2	2.3
CHRISTIAN	626	54,198	18.4	35,484.7	66.4	24.6	2.3	0.6	2.5	3.6
SKINNER	627	54,046	18.3	35,503.0	79.3	15.0	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.5
BRADFORD	628	54,015	18.3	35,521.4	64.8	29.1	0.5	0.6	2.5	2.5
RICHARD	629	53,893	18.3	35,539.6	70.4	23.5	0.9	0.6	2.0	2.8
GALVAN	630	53,822	18.3	35,557.9	6.1	0.3	0.8	0.4	0.2	92.3
WALL	631	53,794	18.2	35,576.1	86.1	8.2	0.8	0.8	1.8	2.3
BOONE	632	53,792	18.2	35,594.3	62.4	31.0	0.5	1.4	2.4	2.4
KIRBY	633	53,767	18.2	35,612.6	85.9	9.2	0.5	0.7	1.8	1.9
WILKINSON	634	53,739	18.2	35,630.8	88.2	6.5	0.6	0.6	1.7	2.4
BRIDGES	635	53,682	18.2	35,649.0	67.7	27.1	0.5	0.5	2.2	2.1
BRUCE	636	53,419	18.1	35,667.1	77.4	15.9	0.7	1.1	2.2	2.7
ATKINSON	637	53,376	18.1	35,685.2	79.3	14.0	0.6	0.6	1.7	3.9
VELEZ	638	53,265	18.1	35,703.2	6.8	0.9	0.9	0.1	0.3	91.0
MEZA	639	53,230	18.1	35,721.3	3.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	95.5
ROY	640	53,159	18.0	35,739.3	75.2	9.0	9.7	1.5	2.0	2.6
VINCENT	641	53,095	18.0	35,757.3	78.9	14.0	1.4	0.6	2.0	3.1

YORK	642	53,059	18.0	35,775.3	85.8	8.0	0.9	1.0	2.0	2.3
HODGE	643	52,920	17.9	35,793.2	68.7	24.9	0.4	0.7	2.4	2.9
VILLA	644	52,817	17.9	35,811.1	8.1	0.4	2.1	0.3	0.4	88.7
ABBOTT	645	52,739	17.9	35,829.0	89.5	4.9	0.7	0.7	1.8	2.5
ALLISON	646	52,701	17.9	35,846.9	80.7	13.1	0.6	1.3	2.0	2.3
TAPIA	647	52,651	17.9	35,864.7	4.9	0.3	0.7	0.4	0.2	93.5
GATES	648	52,569	17.8	35,882.6	75.0	19.1	0.6	0.8	2.1	2.4
CHASE	649	52,481	17.8	35,900.4	81.8	11.4	0.7	1.5	2.1	2.5
SOSA	650	52,457	17.8	35,918.1	5.1	0.5	0.7	0.2	0.2	93.3
SWEENEY	651	52,410	17.8	35,935.9	90.6	4.8	0.6	0.4	1.3	2.4
FARRELL	652	52,321	17.7	35,953.6	89.9	4.8	0.7	0.5	1.4	2.7
WYATT	653	52,211	17.7	35,971.3	75.7	18.6	0.4	0.7	2.1	2.5
DALTON	654	52,184	17.7	35,989.0	86.8	7.7	0.6	0.7	1.9	2.3
HORN	655	52,138	17.7	36,006.7	85.2	8.7	1.0	1.2	1.8	2.2
BARRON	656	52,070	17.7	36,024.4	46.4	8.8	0.7	0.4	1.2	42.5
PHELPS	657	52,044	17.6	36,042.0	85.1	9.7	0.5	0.6	1.8	2.3
YU	658	52,035	17.6	36,059.6	1.5	0.1	96.1	0.0	1.6	0.7
DICKERSON	659	51,889	17.6	36,077.2	63.0	31.6	0.4	0.4	2.5	2.1
HEATH	660	51,877	17.6	36,094.8	80.3	14.4	0.5	0.8	1.8	2.2
FOLEY	661	51,865	17.6	36,112.4	92.1	3.3	0.7	0.3	1.5	2.1
ATKINS	662	51,671	17.5	36,129.9	67.6	26.7	0.5	0.6	2.4	2.2
MATHEWS	663	51,592	17.5	36,147.4	74.6	15.4	4.2	0.7	2.2	2.8
BONILLA	664	51,475	17.5	36,164.9	4.5	0.7	1.2	0.2	0.3	93.2
ACEVEDO	665	51,351	17.4	36,182.3	5.1	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.2	93.5
BENITEZ	666	51,288	17.4	36,199.7	3.8	0.5	1.6	0.1	0.2	93.9
ZAVALA	667	51,153	17.3	36,217.0	3.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.1	96.1
HENSLEY	668	51,081	17.3	36,234.3	92.8	1.9	0.5	1.0	1.8	2.1
GLENN	669	51,043	17.3	36,251.6	61.4	32.8	0.5	0.6	2.4	2.3
CISNEROS	670	50,920	17.3	36,268.9	4.6	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	94.7
HARRELL	671	50,837	17.2	36,286.1	66.1	28.8	0.4	0.5	2.2	2.0
SHIELDS	672	50,832	17.2	36,303.3	75.8	18.5	0.6	1.0	1.9	2.2
RUBIO	673	50,788	17.2	36,320.6	5.4	0.3	1.8	0.3	0.3	92.0
HUFFMAN	674	50,786	17.2	36,337.8	91.2	4.3	0.5	0.5	1.6	1.9
CHOI	674	50,786	17.2	36,355.0	1.6	0.3	96.1	0.0	1.5	0.5
BOYER	676	50,742	17.2	36,372.2	88.8	5.5	0.6	0.6	1.9	2.7
GARRISON	677	50,686	17.2	36,389.4	83.1	11.0	0.5	0.8	2.1	2.5

ARROYO	678	50,614	17.2	36,406.5	4.6	0.6	1.1	0.1	0.2	93.3
BOND	679	50,610	17.2	36,423.7	75.9	17.9	0.6	0.9	2.3	2.4
KANE	680	50,584	17.2	36,440.8	89.1	4.6	1.7	0.5	1.8	2.4
HANCOCK	681	50,558	17.1	36,458.0	87.3	7.7	0.5	0.6	1.7	2.2
CALLAHAN	682	50,524	17.1	36,475.1	89.8	5.3	0.6	0.5	1.6	2.2
DILLON	683	50,465	17.1	36,492.2	84.4	9.7	0.7	1.0	1.7	2.6
CLINE	684	50,258	17.0	36,509.3	92.9	2.2	0.5	0.9	1.6	2.0
WIGGINS	685	50,247	17.0	36,526.3	54.7	39.6	0.3	0.7	2.4	2.3
GRIMES	686	50,245	17.0	36,543.3	74.9	19.5	0.4	0.6	2.2	2.5
ARELLANO	687	50,104	17.0	36,560.3	4.5	0.1	2.6	0.3	0.4	92.1
MELTON	688	50,069	17.0	36,577.3	79.8	14.2	0.5	1.1	2.1	2.3
ONEILL	689	50,028	17.0	36,594.2	92.7	0.9	0.8	0.3	1.4	4.0
SAVAGE	690	49,914	16.9	36,611.2	74.8	19.6	0.5	0.8	2.1	2.2
HO	691	49,817	16.9	36,628.1	2.4	0.2	93.1	0.0	3.2	1.1
BELTRAN	692	49,776	16.9	36,644.9	4.9	0.4	3.3	0.2	0.3	90.9
PITTS	693	49,740	16.9	36,661.8	63.2	31.4	0.5	0.5	2.3	2.1
PARRISH	694	49,733	16.9	36,678.7	80.2	14.2	0.5	1.3	1.8	2.0
PONCE	695	49,549	16.8	36,695.4	5.1	0.4	2.0	0.2	0.4	91.9
RICH	696	49,481	16.8	36,712.2	85.2	9.3	0.7	0.6	1.9	2.4
BOOTH	697	49,402	16.8	36,729.0	83.4	10.8	0.6	1.1	1.8	2.3
KOCH	698	49,395	16.8	36,745.7	95.3	0.3	0.7	0.3	1.1	2.3
GOLDEN	699	49,360	16.7	36,762.4	74.7	19.9	0.5	0.6	2.0	2.3
WARE	700	49,316	16.7	36,779.2	48.7	44.9	0.5	0.8	2.8	2.4
BRENNAN	701	49,238	16.7	36,795.9	94.6	0.8	0.8	0.2	1.3	2.3
MCDOWELL	702	49,217	16.7	36,812.5	69.7	24.9	0.5	0.6	2.1	2.3
MARKS	703	49,177	16.7	36,829.2	79.9	14.0	0.7	0.9	1.9	2.5
CANTU	704	49,126	16.7	36,845.9	7.9	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.2	90.9
HUMPHREY	705	49,056	16.6	36,862.5	71.3	23.2	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.3
BAXTER	706	49,033	16.6	36,879.1	80.4	13.9	0.6	0.6	2.1	2.5
SAWYER	707	49,028	16.6	36,895.7	80.8	13.6	0.7	0.6	2.0	2.3
CLAY	708	48,844	16.6	36,912.3	52.7	40.5	0.5	0.9	2.9	2.4
TANNER	709	48,813	16.6	36,928.8	82.8	10.9	0.6	1.1	2.2	2.3
HUTCHINSON	710	48,781	16.5	36,945.4	75.4	18.5	0.7	0.7	2.2	2.5
KAUR	711	48,753	16.5	36,961.9	1.1	0.3	96.1	0.6	1.5	0.4
BERG	712	48,746	16.5	36,978.4	94.7	0.4	0.7	0.5	1.4	2.3
WILEY	713	48,720	16.5	36,995.0	65.7	28.7	0.5	0.6	2.3	2.2

GILMORE	714	48,719	16.5	37,011.5	65.4	28.1	0.5	0.9	2.6	2.6
RUSSO	715	48,696	16.5	37,028.0	93.9	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.9	4.1
VILLEGAS	716	48,599	16.5	37,044.5	4.0	0.3	3.2	0.2	0.4	91.9
HOBBS	717	48,522	16.5	37,060.9	79.1	15.5	0.4	0.8	2.0	2.3
KEITH	718	48,487	16.4	37,077.3	79.3	14.5	0.7	1.1	2.1	2.3
WILKERSON	719	48,444	16.4	37,093.8	64.7	29.4	0.5	0.6	2.6	2.3
AHMED	720	48,319	16.4	37,110.1	15.7	22.0	56.5	0.4	4.0	1.4
BEARD	721	48,207	16.3	37,126.5	74.9	19.6	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.4
MCCLAIN	722	48,165	16.3	37,142.8	61.1	33.1	0.4	0.5	2.6	2.4
MONTES	723	48,142	16.3	37,159.1	5.5	0.7	0.8	0.3	0.2	92.6
MATA	724	48,120	16.3	37,175.5	5.9	0.3	2.6	0.3	0.5	90.4
ROSARIO	725	48,051	16.3	37,191.7	5.7	1.8	4.5	0.1	0.8	87.0
VANG	726	48,036	16.3	37,208.0	1.4	(S)	96.7	(S)	1.4	0.4
WALTER	727	48,024	16.3	37,224.3	88.6	6.2	0.9	0.5	1.4	2.3
HENSON	728	48,013	16.3	37,240.6	82.1	10.8	1.0	1.3	2.5	2.4
ONEAL	729	47,979	16.3	37,256.8	64.6	29.2	0.4	0.7	2.6	2.5
MOSLEY	730	47,963	16.3	37,273.1	40.5	53.2	0.3	0.6	3.2	2.3
MCCLURE	731	47,742	16.2	37,289.3	84.6	9.7	0.6	0.8	1.9	2.3
BEASLEY	732	47,693	16.2	37,305.5	67.0	27.6	0.4	0.7	2.3	2.0
STEPHENSON	733	47,641	16.2	37,321.6	80.1	14.0	0.6	0.7	2.0	2.7
SNOW	734	47,528	16.1	37,337.7	85.0	9.0	0.6	1.4	2.1	1.9
HUERTA	735	47,455	16.1	37,353.8	3.8	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	95.5
PRESTON	736	47,367	16.1	37,369.9	73.2	20.4	0.6	1.1	2.3	2.5
VANCE	737	47,324	16.0	37,385.9	81.6	12.9	0.6	0.7	1.9	2.4
BARRY	738	47,274	16.0	37,401.9	84.5	10.5	0.8	0.4	1.4	2.5
JOHNS	739	47,246	16.0	37,418.0	79.8	12.8	0.8	2.0	2.2	2.5
EATON	740	47,184	16.0	37,433.9	85.1	9.6	0.5	0.8	1.7	2.3
BLACKWELL	741	47,175	16.0	37,449.9	65.7	28.5	0.4	0.8	2.6	2.1
DYER	742	47,170	16.0	37,465.9	83.2	11.4	0.5	0.7	1.8	2.5
PRINCE	743	47,168	16.0	37,481.9	66.4	26.5	0.7	0.9	2.4	3.1
MACDONALD	744	46,717	15.8	37,497.8	93.7	1.1	0.9	0.4	1.6	2.4
SOLOMON	745	46,534	15.8	37,513.5	57.8	32.1	3.1	1.4	2.4	3.2
GUEVARA	746	46,454	15.8	37,529.3	4.3	0.5	2.7	0.2	0.3	91.9
STAFFORD	747	46,394	15.7	37,545.0	79.1	15.1	0.5	0.9	2.2	2.2
ENGLISH	748	46,393	15.7	37,560.7	71.4	22.4	0.7	0.6	2.5	2.4
HURST	749	46,244	15.7	37,576.4	84.5	10.5	0.5	0.5	1.8	2.2

WOODARD	750	46,240	15.7	37,592.1	59.9	34.6	0.5	0.6	2.2	2.2
CORTES	751	46,229	15.7	37,607.8	4.8	0.4	2.1	0.1	0.2	92.4
SHANNON	752	46,147	15.6	37,623.4	75.2	19.5	0.5	0.4	2.0	2.4
KEMP	753	46,146	15.6	37,639.1	71.7	22.8	0.5	0.7	2.2	2.1
NOLAN	754	46,054	15.6	37,654.7	86.9	7.4	0.6	0.6	1.7	2.9
MCCULLOUGH	755	45,852	15.5	37,670.2	73.3	21.2	0.5	0.5	2.0	2.6
MERRITT	756	45,594	15.5	37,685.7	75.1	19.7	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.1
MURILLO	757	45,558	15.4	37,701.1	4.4	0.4	0.9	0.3	0.2	93.8
MOON	758	45,528	15.4	37,716.5	65.7	10.0	19.1	1.0	2.2	2.0
SALGADO	759	45,469	15.4	37,732.0	4.1	0.3	1.2	0.3	0.2	93.9
STRONG	760	45,432	15.4	37,747.4	66.8	25.8	0.8	1.5	2.5	2.6
KLINE	761	45,390	15.4	37,762.7	94.2	1.6	0.5	0.3	1.3	2.0
CORDOVA	762	45,305	15.4	37,778.1	10.3	0.6	1.3	0.8	0.5	86.5
BARAJAS	763	45,153	15.3	37,793.4	3.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	96.5
ROACH	764	45,019	15.3	37,808.7	80.3	14.0	0.5	0.8	1.8	2.7
ROSAS	765	44,938	15.2	37,823.9	3.9	0.3	0.5	0.2	0.1	95.1
WINTERS	766	44,914	15.2	37,839.1	79.1	15.1	0.5	0.8	2.1	2.4
JACOBSON	767	44,808	15.2	37,854.3	94.7	0.4	0.8	0.5	1.5	2.2
LESTER	768	44,784	15.2	37,869.5	75.8	18.6	0.4	1.2	1.8	2.2
KNOX	769	44,742	15.2	37,884.7	63.4	30.6	0.5	0.7	2.5	2.3
BULLOCK	770	44,740	15.2	37,899.8	61.6	33.0	0.5	0.6	2.2	2.2
KERR	771	44,711	15.2	37,915.0	86.9	7.5	0.8	0.6	1.8	2.4
LEACH	772	44,581	15.1	37,930.1	80.3	13.8	0.6	0.9	2.0	2.4
MEADOWS	773	44,500	15.1	37,945.2	81.2	14.2	0.4	0.5	1.8	1.9
ORR	774	44,388	15.1	37,960.2	79.4	15.0	0.7	0.8	2.0	2.2
DAVILA	774	44,388	15.1	37,975.3	6.6	0.7	0.3	0.2	0.2	92.0
WHITEHEAD	776	44,373	15.0	37,990.3	70.5	24.0	0.4	0.7	2.2	2.1
PRUITT	777	44,365	15.0	38,005.4	73.2	21.5	0.3	0.7	2.3	2.0
KENT	778	44,325	15.0	38,020.4	83.9	10.4	0.8	0.7	1.9	2.3
CONWAY	779	44,320	15.0	38,035.4	81.8	12.5	0.6	0.7	1.9	2.5
MCKEE	780	44,137	15.0	38,050.4	87.4	6.8	0.6	0.8	1.9	2.4
BARR	781	44,130	15.0	38,065.4	82.7	11.7	0.6	1.0	1.7	2.3
DAVID	782	44,040	14.9	38,080.3	59.5	14.9	13.9	1.7	2.5	7.6
DEJESUS	783	44,038	14.9	38,095.2	5.9	1.6	7.7	0.2	0.7	83.9
MARIN	784	43,904	14.9	38,110.1	11.1	1.1	0.8	0.2	0.3	86.6
BERGER	785	43,851	14.9	38,125.0	92.3	2.5	0.7	0.3	1.4	2.8

MCINTYRE	786	43,842	14.9	38,139.8	78.5	16.3	0.5	0.4	1.9	2.3
BLANKENSHIP	787	43,830	14.9	38,154.7	93.7	2.0	0.4	0.8	1.5	1.6
GAINES	788	43,821	14.9	38,169.5	42.9	50.7	0.3	0.6	3.1	2.4
PALACIOS	789	43,798	14.9	38,184.4	4.3	0.4	0.9	0.2	0.2	94.1
CUEVAS	790	43,701	14.8	38,199.2	7.4	0.3	1.7	0.2	0.2	90.2
BARTLETT	791	43,648	14.8	38,214.0	90.6	3.7	0.7	0.7	1.8	2.6
DURHAM	792	43,635	14.8	38,228.8	73.0	21.5	0.4	0.6	2.2	2.2
DORSEY	793	43,631	14.8	38,243.6	41.8	52.2	0.4	0.5	3.2	2.0
MCCALL	794	43,483	14.7	38,258.3	66.5	28.2	0.5	0.6	2.1	2.2
ODONNELL	795	43,460	14.7	38,273.1	95.1	0.5	0.6	0.3	1.2	2.4
STEIN	796	43,389	14.7	38,287.8	94.4	1.0	0.7	0.4	1.2	2.4
BROWNING	797	43,329	14.7	38,302.5	87.5	7.3	0.4	0.7	1.8	2.3
STOUT	798	43,305	14.7	38,317.1	92.1	2.3	0.7	0.9	1.7	2.4
LOWERY	799	43,278	14.7	38,331.8	68.0	23.0	0.4	4.5	2.2	2.1
SLOAN	800	43,261	14.7	38,346.5	79.4	13.9	0.6	1.8	2.1	2.3
MCLEAN	801	43,260	14.7	38,361.1	67.0	26.9	0.7	0.7	2.1	2.6
HENDRICKS	802	43,197	14.6	38,375.8	75.7	17.4	0.6	1.4	2.2	2.8
CALHOUN	803	43,180	14.6	38,390.4	61.7	33.0	0.5	0.5	2.3	2.1
SEXTON	804	43,133	14.6	38,405.0	91.4	3.6	0.5	0.7	1.6	2.1
CHUNG	805	43,110	14.6	38,419.7	2.3	2.3	90.0	0.0	4.0	1.4
GENTRY	806	43,027	14.6	38,434.2	82.1	12.2	0.4	0.7	2.1	2.5
HULL	807	43,018	14.6	38,448.8	85.5	8.6	0.6	0.7	1.9	2.6
DUARTE	808	42,983	14.6	38,463.4	16.2	1.7	0.9	0.3	1.0	80.0
ELLISON	809	42,827	14.5	38,477.9	65.8	28.3	0.6	0.6	2.3	2.4
NIELSEN	810	42,773	14.5	38,492.4	94.2	0.4	0.9	0.4	1.5	2.7
GILLESPIE	811	42,693	14.5	38,506.9	81.2	13.8	0.5	0.5	1.7	2.3
BUCK	812	42,639	14.5	38,521.3	88.1	5.7	0.8	1.4	1.9	2.1
MIDDLETON	813	42,578	14.4	38,535.8	66.7	28.2	0.5	0.5	2.1	2.1
SELLERS	814	42,577	14.4	38,550.2	76.5	17.8	0.5	1.0	2.0	2.1
LEBLANC	815	42,575	14.4	38,564.6	86.2	8.8	0.5	0.7	1.4	2.5
ESPARZA	816	42,559	14.4	38,579.1	5.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	94.2
HARDIN	817	42,469	14.4	38,593.5	77.7	16.0	0.6	1.4	2.2	2.2
BRADSHAW	818	42,465	14.4	38,607.9	78.1	16.4	0.5	0.6	2.2	2.3
MCINTOSH	819	42,379	14.4	38,622.2	69.8	23.8	0.6	1.1	2.5	2.3
HOWE	820	42,265	14.3	38,636.6	90.6	3.2	1.0	1.3	1.8	2.1
LIVINGSTON	821	42,103	14.3	38,650.8	72.1	20.9	0.5	1.9	2.0	2.5

FROST	822	42,015	14.2	38,665.1	86.5	7.7	0.7	0.9	2.0	2.2
GLASS	823	41,802	14.2	38,679.3	77.0	17.4	0.4	1.1	1.9	2.3
MORSE	824	41,774	14.2	38,693.4	90.5	4.3	0.7	0.6	1.8	2.1
KNAPP	825	41,771	14.2	38,707.6	94.7	0.6	0.6	0.4	1.4	2.3
HERMAN	826	41,750	14.2	38,721.7	90.5	3.4	0.9	1.0	1.3	2.9
STARK	827	41,735	14.2	38,735.9	92.5	2.3	0.6	0.7	1.5	2.5
BRAVO	828	41,700	14.1	38,750.0	6.2	0.7	1.9	0.3	0.4	90.6
NOBLE	829	41,667	14.1	38,764.1	77.7	14.4	1.7	0.7	2.1	3.4
SPEARS	830	41,665	14.1	38,778.3	67.0	26.3	0.5	1.6	2.5	2.2
WEEKS	831	41,565	14.1	38,792.4	84.4	10.0	0.6	0.8	1.8	2.5
CORONA	832	41,553	14.1	38,806.4	8.6	0.3	0.4	0.2	0.3	90.3
FREDERICK	833	41,394	14.0	38,820.5	80.1	14.1	0.6	0.8	1.9	2.4
BUCKLEY	834	41,348	14.0	38,834.5	83.2	11.5	0.6	0.7	1.7	2.4
MCFARLAND	835	41,300	14.0	38,848.5	78.9	15.6	0.6	0.6	2.1	2.2
HEBERT	836	41,275	14.0	38,862.5	90.4	4.9	0.5	0.6	1.4	2.4
ENRIQUEZ	837	41,271	14.0	38,876.5	4.0	0.6	7.9	0.4	0.6	86.5
HICKMAN	838	41,163	14.0	38,890.4	75.2	18.7	0.5	1.3	2.2	2.3
QUINTERO	839	41,158	14.0	38,904.4	4.2	0.4	0.4	0.8	0.2	94.1
RANDOLPH	840	41,129	13.9	38,918.3	55.9	37.7	0.5	0.7	2.9	2.4
SCHAEFER	841	41,063	13.9	38,932.2	95.1	0.5	0.7	0.4	1.3	2.2
WALLS	842	41,025	13.9	38,946.2	68.7	25.4	0.4	0.8	2.4	2.4
TREJO	843	41,021	13.9	38,960.1	4.0	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.2	95.1
HOUSE	844	41,000	13.9	38,974.0	75.1	18.7	0.4	1.5	2.3	2.1
REILLY	845	40,884	13.9	38,987.8	95.3	0.4	0.7	0.1	1.2	2.3
PENNINGTON	846	40,854	13.9	39,001.7	87.9	7.2	0.4	0.5	1.8	2.2
MICHAEL	847	40,736	13.8	39,015.5	83.6	8.9	2.0	0.7	1.9	3.1
CONRAD	848	40,707	13.8	39,029.3	92.0	3.1	0.6	0.6	1.5	2.2
GILES	849	40,598	13.8	39,043.0	64.9	26.2	0.4	0.5	2.3	5.7
BENJAMIN	850	40,590	13.8	39,056.8	49.0	41.6	2.5	0.9	2.6	3.4
CROSBY	851	40,563	13.8	39,070.6	70.0	24.0	0.5	0.7	2.2	2.5
FITZPATRICK	852	40,449	13.7	39,084.3	85.6	9.4	0.6	0.4	1.7	2.3
DONOVAN	853	40,410	13.7	39,098.0	92.9	2.0	0.7	0.3	1.3	2.8
MAYS	854	40,408	13.7	39,111.7	54.8	39.7	0.4	0.6	2.7	2.0
MAHONEY	855	40,397	13.7	39,125.4	91.1	4.3	0.6	0.2	1.5	2.3
VALENTINE	856	40,395	13.7	39,139.1	66.1	23.3	0.7	0.5	2.1	7.4
RAYMOND	857	40,275	13.7	39,152.7	79.1	13.6	1.1	0.9	2.1	3.3

MEDRANO	858	40,261	13.7	39,166.4	4.3	0.3	1.9	0.2	0.3	93.0
HAHN	859	40,250	13.7	39,180.0	90.2	0.5	5.7	0.3	1.3	2.0
MCMILLAN	860	40,237	13.6	39,193.6	68.0	25.6	0.6	1.3	2.4	2.2
SMALL	861	40,212	13.6	39,207.3	62.7	30.8	0.6	1.4	1.9	2.6
BENTLEY	862	40,193	13.6	39,220.9	82.5	12.4	0.5	0.5	1.9	2.3
FELIX	863	40,165	13.6	39,234.5	17.5	11.6	2.8	0.9	1.0	66.3
PECK	864	40,055	13.6	39,248.1	90.7	3.6	0.9	0.5	1.7	2.6
LUCERO	865	39,986	13.6	39,261.6	11.1	0.2	2.7	2.9	0.7	82.4
BOYLE	866	39,921	13.5	39,275.2	93.5	2.0	0.6	0.3	1.3	2.3
HANNA	867	39,890	13.5	39,288.7	87.1	6.4	1.0	0.6	2.2	2.7
PACE	868	39,879	13.5	39,302.2	78.3	16.5	0.7	0.5	1.7	2.4
RUSH	869	39,802	13.5	39,315.7	74.0	20.8	0.5	0.7	1.9	2.2
HURLEY	870	39,796	13.5	39,329.2	90.7	4.6	0.7	0.6	1.5	1.9
HARDING	871	39,787	13.5	39,342.7	80.1	13.6	0.6	0.9	2.2	2.5
MCCONNELL	872	39,754	13.5	39,356.2	88.8	6.0	0.6	0.8	1.8	2.1
BERNAL	873	39,693	13.5	39,369.6	8.0	0.4	2.4	0.3	0.4	88.5
NAVA	874	39,670	13.5	39,383.1	4.5	0.3	1.1	0.2	0.2	93.8
AYERS	875	39,623	13.4	39,396.5	83.0	11.7	0.6	0.6	2.1	2.1
EVERETT	876	39,593	13.4	39,409.9	68.9	24.6	0.5	0.7	2.1	3.2
VENTURA	877	39,580	13.4	39,423.4	18.8	0.8	4.6	0.3	1.0	74.5
AVERY	878	39,564	13.4	39,436.8	71.1	22.9	0.5	0.8	2.4	2.3
PUGH	879	39,559	13.4	39,450.2	67.2	28.1	0.5	0.4	2.0	1.8
MAYER	880	39,555	13.4	39,463.6	93.1	1.3	0.9	0.4	1.3	3.0
BENDER	881	39,551	13.4	39,477.0	88.5	6.5	0.7	0.6	1.6	2.1
SHEPARD	882	39,430	13.4	39,490.4	76.7	17.1	0.6	0.9	2.2	2.6
MCMAHON	883	39,411	13.4	39,503.7	93.2	2.3	0.7	0.2	1.3	2.3
LANDRY	884	39,391	13.4	39,517.1	83.0	12.6	0.5	0.4	1.5	2.1
CASE	885	39,319	13.3	39,530.4	92.3	2.1	0.7	1.0	1.7	2.2
SAMPSON	886	39,277	13.3	39,543.7	60.2	30.0	0.7	3.9	2.4	2.8
MOSES	887	39,216	13.3	39,557.0	55.1	34.5	2.0	3.2	2.7	2.6
MAGANA	888	39,105	13.3	39,570.3	3.8	0.4	0.6	0.2	0.2	94.9
BLACKBURN	889	39,097	13.3	39,583.5	87.4	7.3	0.5	0.9	1.7	2.1
DUNLAP	890	39,063	13.2	39,596.8	75.0	19.5	0.5	0.7	2.1	2.2
GOULD	891	38,924	13.2	39,610.0	86.8	7.2	0.7	1.4	1.8	2.1
DUFFY	892	38,835	13.2	39,623.1	90.7	4.3	0.7	0.5	1.6	2.3
VAUGHAN	893	38,830	13.2	39,636.3	82.0	12.9	0.5	0.5	1.7	2.4

HERRING	894	38,733	13.1	39,649.4	72.7	22.1	0.4	0.5	2.2	2.2
MCKAY	895	38,681	13.1	39,662.5	80.0	13.6	0.7	1.2	2.1	2.4
ESPINOSA	896	38,667	13.1	39,675.6	7.7	0.4	3.5	0.3	0.4	87.7
RIVERS	897	38,662	13.1	39,688.7	40.5	50.9	0.6	2.0	2.6	3.4
FARLEY	898	38,528	13.1	39,701.8	83.7	10.9	0.6	0.8	1.6	2.5
BERNARD	899	38,512	13.1	39,714.9	62.8	25.8	1.1	0.7	2.2	7.4
ASHLEY	900	38,499	13.1	39,727.9	71.8	20.6	0.7	2.4	2.3	2.3
FRIEDMAN	901	38,374	13.0	39,740.9	96.1	0.4	0.6	0.1	0.9	1.9
POTTS	902	38,277	13.0	39,753.9	79.6	14.3	0.6	1.3	2.0	2.3
TRUONG	903	38,267	13.0	39,766.9	0.8	0.1	96.9	0.0	1.6	0.6
COSTA	904	38,265	13.0	39,779.8	83.2	2.3	1.8	0.4	2.1	10.3
CORREA	905	38,232	13.0	39,792.8	10.4	1.0	1.7	0.3	1.2	85.4
BLEVINS	906	38,229	13.0	39,805.8	89.5	5.2	0.4	1.2	2.1	1.7
NIXON	907	38,147	12.9	39,818.7	62.0	31.6	0.6	0.7	2.5	2.6
CLEMENTS	908	38,044	12.9	39,831.6	84.9	9.9	0.5	0.6	1.9	2.3
FRY	909	38,029	12.9	39,844.5	91.1	3.1	0.6	1.1	1.8	2.3
DELAROSA	910	37,932	12.9	39,857.3	5.2	0.6	4.6	0.3	0.5	88.9
BEST	911	37,923	12.9	39,870.2	71.8	22.5	0.5	0.6	1.8	2.8
BENTON	912	37,912	12.9	39,883.1	69.0	25.0	0.6	0.9	2.2	2.4
LUGO	913	37,903	12.9	39,895.9	6.9	1.0	0.4	0.3	0.2	91.2
PORTILLO	914	37,890	12.8	39,908.8	4.1	0.5	0.4	0.2	0.1	94.8
DOUGHERTY	915	37,884	12.8	39,921.6	94.4	1.1	0.6	0.3	1.3	2.3
CRANE	916	37,870	12.8	39,934.4	90.1	4.3	0.6	0.6	1.8	2.6
HALEY	917	37,858	12.8	39,947.3	81.3	13.2	0.5	0.7	2.0	2.2
PHAN	918	37,836	12.8	39,960.1	1.3	0.2	95.8	0.0	2.0	0.7
VILLALOBOS	919	37,754	12.8	39,972.9	3.9	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.2	94.8
BLANCHARD	920	37,695	12.8	39,985.7	84.9	9.3	0.5	0.8	1.9	2.7
HORNE	921	37,689	12.8	39,998.4	70.9	24.0	0.6	0.6	1.9	2.0
FINLEY	922	37,672	12.8	40,011.2	72.3	21.4	0.5	1.2	2.2	2.4
QUINTANA	923	37,657	12.8	40,024.0	8.2	0.3	1.1	1.1	0.3	89.1
LYNN	924	37,644	12.8	40,036.7	84.6	9.0	1.4	0.7	1.8	2.5
ESQUIVEL	925	37,578	12.7	40,049.5	4.1	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.2	94.7
BEAN	926	37,571	12.7	40,062.2	80.8	13.4	0.6	0.9	1.8	2.5
DODSON	927	37,566	12.7	40,075.0	81.4	12.3	0.5	1.4	2.2	2.2
MULLEN	928	37,502	12.7	40,087.7	87.6	6.9	0.7	0.6	1.9	2.3
XIONG	929	37,499	12.7	40,100.4	0.3	0.1	98.1	0.0	1.1	0.4

HAYDEN	930	37,451	12.7	40,113.1	80.6	14.1	0.7	0.4	2.0	2.3
CANO	931	37,368	12.7	40,125.7	6.6	0.4	1.2	0.2	0.3	91.3
LEVY	932	37,228	12.6	40,138.4	79.2	13.0	0.9	0.3	1.7	5.1
HUBER	933	37,170	12.6	40,151.0	95.2	0.4	0.6	0.3	1.4	2.1
RICHMOND	934	37,053	12.6	40,163.5	71.7	22.8	0.5	0.5	2.2	2.2
MOYER	935	37,050	12.6	40,176.1	93.4	2.4	0.5	0.3	1.4	1.9
LIM	936	37,021	12.6	40,188.6	2.9	0.2	92.2	0.0	3.0	1.6
FRYE	937	36,973	12.5	40,201.2	83.0	11.8	0.5	1.0	1.9	1.8
SHEPPARD	938	36,960	12.5	40,213.7	67.4	26.8	0.5	0.8	2.0	2.6
MCCARTY	939	36,944	12.5	40,226.2	88.1	6.4	0.5	0.8	1.7	2.4
AVALOS	940	36,922	12.5	40,238.7	3.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	95.8
BOOKER	941	36,840	12.5	40,251.2	28.0	65.2	0.3	0.4	3.8	2.3
WALLER	942	36,805	12.5	40,263.7	69.6	25.2	0.5	0.5	2.1	2.2
PARRA	943	36,765	12.5	40,276.2	6.5	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.2	92.3
WOODWARD	944	36,764	12.5	40,288.6	89.7	4.8	0.6	0.7	1.8	2.4
JARAMILLO	945	36,755	12.5	40,301.1	7.5	0.3	1.0	0.7	0.3	90.3
KRUEGER	946	36,743	12.5	40,313.6	96.0	0.2	0.6	0.4	1.1	1.7
RASMUSSEN	947	36,636	12.4	40,326.0	94.6	0.3	0.8	0.4	1.4	2.6
BRANDT	948	36,613	12.4	40,338.4	94.1	0.9	0.7	0.4	1.4	2.5
PERALTA	949	36,585	12.4	40,350.8	5.2	0.4	7.2	0.3	0.9	86.0
DONALDSON	950	36,558	12.4	40,363.2	72.1	22.1	0.5	0.4	2.4	2.5
STUART	951	36,540	12.4	40,375.6	84.0	9.9	0.7	0.6	1.8	3.0
FAULKNER	952	36,466	12.4	40,387.9	79.4	15.4	0.5	0.6	1.8	2.3
MAYNARD	953	36,460	12.4	40,400.3	87.5	7.0	0.5	0.7	1.7	2.7
GALINDO	954	36,429	12.4	40,412.6	5.9	0.2	0.8	0.3	0.3	92.7
COFFEY	955	36,423	12.4	40,425.0	88.4	6.7	0.5	0.7	1.7	1.9
ESTES	956	36,318	12.3	40,437.3	86.8	7.2	0.5	1.0	2.1	2.5
SANFORD	957	36,312	12.3	40,449.6	76.6	17.8	0.6	0.7	2.0	2.4
BURCH	958	36,269	12.3	40,461.9	78.8	15.4	0.5	0.8	2.2	2.4
MADDOX	959	36,250	12.3	40,474.2	69.8	25.2	0.4	0.5	1.9	2.2
VO	960	36,236	12.3	40,486.5	1.4	0.2	96.1	0.0	1.7	0.6
OCONNELL	961	36,194	12.3	40,498.8	95.4	0.3	0.6	0.2	1.3	2.2
VU	962	36,179	12.3	40,511.0	1.0	(S)	96.6	(S)	1.7	0.6
ANDERSEN	963	36,150	12.3	40,523.3	94.0	0.8	0.7	0.4	1.5	2.6
SPENCE	964	36,129	12.3	40,535.5	72.2	21.7	0.6	0.6	2.1	2.7
MCPHERSON	965	36,125	12.3	40,547.8	73.4	20.7	0.6	0.6	2.3	2.5

CHURCH	966	36,072	12.2	40,560.0	89.2	5.8	0.5	0.8	1.6	2.2
SCHMITT	967	36,043	12.2	40,572.2	95.7	0.2	0.6	0.4	1.2	2.0
STANTON	968	35,997	12.2	40,584.4	82.9	11.6	0.7	0.6	1.9	2.4
LEAL	969	35,958	12.2	40,596.6	13.0	0.5	1.0	0.2	0.4	84.9
CHERRY	970	35,877	12.2	40,608.8	59.0	35.5	0.7	0.4	2.5	2.0
COMPTON	971	35,830	12.2	40,620.9	87.3	7.8	0.5	0.7	1.7	2.0
DUDLEY	972	35,781	12.1	40,633.0	66.0	27.7	0.5	0.7	2.5	2.6
SIERRA	973	35,770	12.1	40,645.2	7.2	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.3	90.6
POLLARD	974	35,749	12.1	40,657.3	62.8	31.4	0.6	0.6	2.4	2.3
ALFARO	975	35,725	12.1	40,669.4	4.9	0.3	1.3	0.1	0.2	93.3
HESTER	976	35,642	12.1	40,681.5	73.1	21.9	0.5	0.5	2.2	1.8
PROCTOR	977	35,636	12.1	40,693.6	70.1	21.6	0.5	2.4	3.1	2.3
LU	978	35,628	12.1	40,705.6	1.8	0.4	95.7	0.0	1.4	0.7
HINTON	979	35,606	12.1	40,717.7	53.2	40.6	0.4	1.2	2.6	2.1
NOVAK	980	35,461	12.0	40,729.7	95.5	0.3	0.7	0.2	1.1	2.2
GOOD	981	35,446	12.0	40,741.8	88.9	5.5	1.2	0.4	1.6	2.4
MADDEN	982	35,438	12.0	40,753.8	84.5	10.5	0.7	0.5	1.8	2.1
MCCANN	983	35,408	12.0	40,765.8	89.7	5.5	0.7	0.4	1.6	2.2
TERRELL	983	35,408	12.0	40,777.8	55.3	39.0	0.4	0.8	2.4	2.2
JARVIS	985	35,350	12.0	40,789.8	84.9	9.3	0.6	0.6	2.0	2.8
DICKSON	986	35,312	12.0	40,801.7	75.8	16.9	0.7	1.4	2.2	3.1
REYNA	987	35,291	12.0	40,813.7	6.2	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.2	92.4
CANTRELL	988	35,266	12.0	40,825.6	89.7	4.7	0.5	1.2	1.9	2.1
MAYO	989	35,228	11.9	40,837.6	63.3	22.2	2.1	0.9	2.5	9.1
BRANCH	990	35,225	11.9	40,849.5	47.4	45.9	0.5	0.6	2.6	3.0
HENDRIX	991	35,194	11.9	40,861.5	78.0	16.2	0.5	0.7	2.2	2.4
ROLLINS	992	35,132	11.9	40,873.4	68.3	26.0	0.4	0.5	2.4	2.5
ROWLAND	993	35,121	11.9	40,885.3	86.6	7.4	0.6	1.0	1.9	2.5
WHITNEY	994	35,118	11.9	40,897.2	85.4	8.5	0.8	0.8	2.0	2.5
DUKE	995	35,053	11.9	40,909.1	85.5	8.1	0.6	0.6	2.0	3.3
ODOM	996	35,020	11.9	40,920.9	69.0	25.9	0.5	0.6	2.0	2.1
DAUGHERTY	997	34,987	11.9	40,932.8	90.0	4.5	0.5	1.1	1.9	2.0
TRAVIS	998	34,985	11.9	40,944.7	73.3	21.0	0.5	0.5	2.2	2.4
TANG	999	34,961	11.9	40,956.5	2.6	0.6	93.3	0.1	2.1	1.3
ARCHER	1,000	34,949	11.9	40,968.4	79.5	14.0	0.6	0.7	2.0	3.1

Exhibit C

LEXICO
Powered by OXFORD

DICTIONARY ▾   romer   ⌨ ▾   🔍

Meaning of romer in English:

romer 🔊

f 🐦 in

NOUN

A small piece of plastic or card bearing perpendicularly aligned scales or (if transparent) a grid, used to determine the precise reference of a point within the grid printed on a map.

'They can place a car on the surface plate (an accurately measured flat plane) and use what is called a romer arm to calculate the car's dimensions to exact specifications.'

Origin

1930s named after Carrol Romer (1883–1951), its British inventor.

Pronunciation ⓘ

romer /ˈrəʊmə/ 🔊

Learning English? Explore These English Word Lists

The Most Common Questions About The English Language

'Climactic' or 'Climatic'?

Which of the following is correct?

○ The ending's climatic and heart-breaking

○ The ending's climactic and heart-breaking

NEXT   0/10

📈 TRENDING WORDS

Most popular in the world

1. method acting
2. macerate
3. cross hairs
4. moisturizer
5. morality play

Are You Learning English? Here Are Our Top English Tips

Basic Guidelines For English Spellings

READ THESE ARTICLES ❯

Here Are The Top English Writing Tips

READ THESE ARTICLES ❯

English Is Hard: These Articles Will Help With Your English Language Usage

READ THESE ARTICLES ❯

Fun English Word Lists To Explore

READ THESE ARTICLES ❯

The Most Common English Language Questions

READ THESE ARTICLES ❯

Feedback

English Is Hard: These Articles Will Help With Your English Language Usage

Fun English Word Lists To Explore

The Most Common English Language Questions

READ THESE ARTICLES ❯

READ THESE ARTICLES ❯

Dictionary.com   DEFINITIONS ⌄   roemer   🔍

TOP DEFINITIONS   EXAMPLES   EXPLORE DICTIONARY

roemer   [rey-mer; German rœ-muh r]   SHOW IPA 🔊

noun, plural **roe·mers,** *German* **roe·mer** 🔊 [**Rœ**-muh R] .

1   a German wineglass having a body with a globular top and a cylindrical bottom often decorated with prunts, supported by a conical foot.

WORDS NEARBY ROEMER

roe deer, roe versus wade, roebling, roebuck, roeg, **roemer**, roentgen, roentgen equivalent man, roentgen ray, roentgenism, roentgenium

ORIGIN OF ROEMER

1895–1900; < German, originally a glass for toasting; cognate with Dutch *roemer;* akin to German *rühmen* to praise

EXAMPLES FROM THE WEB FOR ROEMER

If *Roemer* gets only half of that, he could have a real impact in the distribution of delegates.
LOUISIANA GOP PRIMARY IS MITT ROMNEY'S LAST CHANCE TO WIN IN DEEP SOUTH | BEN JACOBS | MARCH 23, 2012 | DAILY BEAST

And perhaps most damning, *Roemer* asks the key question: "Who wants a follower as their president?"
OBAMA'S SUPER PAC HYPOCRISY: GIVING BLESSING TO PRIORITIES USA ACTION | MARK MCKINNON | FEBRUARY 8, 2012 | DAILY BEAST

In the meantime *Roemer* will continue to tweet answers to debate questions before the candidates onstage respond.
THE TWO GOP CANDIDATES BEING SHUT OUT | JILL LAWRENCE | OCTOBER 29, 2011 | DAILY BEAST

Roemer says he released his flat-tax proposal three months ago.
THE TWO GOP CANDIDATES BEING SHUT OUT | JILL LAWRENCE | OCTOBER 29, 2011 | DAILY BEAST

SEE MORE EXAMPLES
⌄

EXPLORE DICTIONARY.COM

How To Make Your Last Name Plural (And Keep The Grammarians Off Your Back)

What's The Difference Between "i.e." And "e.g."?

The X In Xmas Is Really About Jesus Christ

Forgotten Synonyms You Should Be Using

Empathy vs. Sympathy: Which Word To Use And When

Hungry For New Words? Snarf Down This Week's Quiz!