

The following is submitted in response to the March 11, 2019 Office Action for Ser. No. 88232447 for the mark HIGHJOBS & Design.

I. THE SPECIMEN IS ACCEPTABLE.

In the Office Action, the Examiner asserted that the specimen is unacceptable. However, Applicant respectfully asserts that the specimen is acceptable.

The specimen clearly shows two buttons to choose from—one button which is labeled “Employee (Worker)” and another button which is labeled “Employer (Business).” The Examiner’s refusal did not even acknowledge the existence of these two buttons within this specimen. Applicant respectfully states that the Examiner did not adequately explain why he took the position that this specimen is unacceptable.

In conclusion, Applicant respectfully requests that the existing specimen be approved.

II. THE IDENTIFICATION OF SERVICES IS FINE AS IS.

Applicant respectfully asserts that the Examiner’s requested amendments to the identification of services are unnecessary, as the existing language has sufficient clarity.

III. THERE IS NO NEED TO PROVIDE ASSIGNMENT INFORMATION.

There has been no assignment. In requesting to amend the applicant name, Applicant was merely editing typos in the application. Such typos were mere inadvertent errors.