

TRADEMARK

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	StrandSmart, Inc.	Exam. Atty:	Susan K. Lawrence
Serial No.:	88/355,281	Law Office No.:	116
Filing Date:	March 25, 2019	Docket No.:	Davies - 11
Mark:	STRANDSMART		

AMENDMENT

Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Dear Commissioner:

On behalf StrandSmart, Inc. ("Applicant"), this is a further Amendment and Response to the Final Office Action dated December 17, 2019, requiring a further acceptable identification and classification of the goods in the description of the mark, and noting Applicant's compliance with the requirement of the multiple-class application rules. The Applicant has fully reviewed the Final Office Action and believes the enclosed sufficiently addresses all issues raised therein. Accordingly, the Applicant requests that the Examining Attorney move forward with the allowance of the subject mark.

As noted, the Examining Attorney found aspects of the identification and classification of the goods (in Classes 5 and 10) to be indefinite and inadequate, and requiring clarification, e.g., to further specify commercial names of the goods, where applicable, or main purpose, and

otherwise to describe the product and intended use in more detail. With the addition of the new Class 5 in response to the last Action, the multiple-class requirement noted in the last Action has been met and the requirement satisfied.

To assist in this clarification of description(s), the Examining Attorney provided templates for the Descriptors, and suggestions as to further clarifications needed. Applicant again thanks the Examining Attorney for these suggestions and has provided further description in the clarification of the Descriptors for Classes 5 and 10. Applicant has carefully reviewed the language and makes various adjustments to the suggestions made by the Examiner, albeit totally in line with those suggestions.

In line with the above, Applicant, accordingly, requests an amendment to subject Application Serial No. 88/355,281 to make of record the Descriptors hereinbelow for substitution. Applicant further thanks the Examiner for working with Applicant's Representative today to further refine the descriptor language that will pass the case to allowance. Applicant thanks the Examiner for her suggestions, which have been employed in this Response.

For Class 5, the descriptor is set forth as follows:

-- Diagnostic testing devices, namely diagnostic kits **comprised of a cartridge with microelectrodes therein, each microelectrode having a carbon nanotube film with cancer-specific antibodies or other targeting materials thereon** for testing blood samples for **detection of** cancers, specifically breast cancer, colon cancer, lung cancer, pancreatic cancer and prostate cancer; **Diagnostic kits comprised of cartridges with microelectrodes therein, each microelectrode having a carbon nanotube film with cancer-specific antibodies or other targeting materials thereon for use with an analysis device for testing of blood for detecting cancers in blood samples, specifically breast cancer, colon cancer, lung cancer, pancreatic cancer and prostate cancer.**

in Class 05—

AND

For Class 10, the descriptor is amended and set forth as follows:

-- Medical devices, namely diagnostic kits **consisting primarily of apparatus with microelectrodes therein, each microelectrode having a carbon nanotube film for use with cancer-specific antibodies or other targeting materials thereon, for analyzing blood samples** for detecting cancers in blood samples, specifically breast cancer, colon cancer, lung cancer, pancreatic cancer and prostate cancer; **Diagnostic testing devices, namely diagnostic kits comprised of apparatus with microelectrodes therein, each microelectrode having a carbon nanotube film for use with cancer-specific antibodies or other targeting materials thereon, and an analysis device for testing blood samples for detection of cancers, specifically breast cancer, colon cancer, lung cancer, pancreatic cancer and prostate cancer.**

in Class 10—

Applicant respectfully submits that they have attempted to provide the various requested clarifications herein in line with the original mark and descriptions. Applicant believes that the above descriptors are definite and classified appropriately. Should alternate phraseology be required, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner contact Applicant's Representative. Also, Applicant does not believe that a material alteration or unwarranted expansion has been made herein, but should the Examining Attorney have any questions or further suggestions on any of the modifications, the Examining Attorney is invited to contact Applicant's Representative, indicated hereinbelow.

By virtue of the above, Applicant believes that they have complied with the "Requirement for Acceptable Identification and Classification of Goods."

Applicant thus believes that they have explicitly and adequately addressed the one refusal presented.

In conclusion, by this Amendment, Applicant has addressed the issues raised by the Examining Attorney and respectfully requests that the application proceed to allowance. Again, if the Examining Attorney has any questions or comments, Applicant respectfully requests that the Examining Attorney contact the undersigned Attorney for Applicant.

Respectfully submitted,

StrandSmart, Inc.

By its Attorneys,

Date: January 21, 2020

/raymond van dyke/
Raymond Van Dyke
Van Dyke Law
1050 Connecticut Avenue NW
Washington Square, P.O. Box 65302
Washington, DC 20035
(202) 378-3903
vandyke@acm.org
ray@rayvandyke.com