

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Mark: FISKARS & Design

Serial No.: 85/288,107

Filing Date: April 6, 2011

Applicant: Fiskars Corporation

Examiner: Jeffrey J. Look
Law Office 108

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Commissioner for Trademarks
P.O. Box 1451
Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

Commissioner:

This communication responds to the Office Action concerning the above-referenced application. Numerous amendments to the identification of goods are being submitted electronically herewith and therefore will not be repeated in this document.

REMARKS

Applicant is pleased to note that the Office Action does not include any substantive grounds for rejection, but instead is limited to identification of goods issues. In this Office Action the Examining Attorney has raised various objections to the current identification of goods and has provided suggested changes and revisions and for the most part, Applicant has accepted the Examiner Attorney's proposed modifications. Applicant has the following comments for the Examining Attorney regarding the objections.

First, the Examining Attorney has asked for clarification of the class 8 item "lawn spikes." In this case, the "long spikes" are really a manually operated tool mounted at the end of

a handle (like a rake) supporting an axle with a plurality of spikes projecting outwardly from the axle. The user rolls the spikes over the lawn to aerate the grass. Applicant has amended class 08 to describe this item as "lawn aeration spikes in the form of a handle mounted roller having a plurality of spikes extending from an axle." Applicant believes that this description is sufficiently definite and properly classified as a manually operated lawn and garden tool in class 08.

Next, the Examining Attorney has questioned whether or not "quilting rulers" is a graduated ruler or whether it is a ruler for providing a straight edge. In this case, the quilting ruler is not a graduated ruler, but is intended to provide a straight edge for a quilter. Therefore, Applicant has left "quilting rulers" in class 08 as previously presented.

The Examining Attorney has also objected to the language "stamp plates and stamp presses" in class 16 as being too broad. Applicant has deleted "stamp presses" from class 16 and has instead moved these goods to class 08 and used language from the Manual of Goods, specifically "hand operated press machines for embossing and printing of paper, photograph, foil and plastic film." In addressing the objection to "stamp plate," Applicant has expanded the description of these products in class 16 as follows "stamp plates for use in hand operated press machines for embossing and printing of paper, photograph, foil and plastic film."

In light of the above, the applicant believes that it has responded to all issues raised in the Office Action and respectfully requests that the application be passed to publication. However, should any questions arise with respect to the application or the issues addressed herein, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 30, 2012

/R.J. McKenna/
Richard J. McKenna
FOLEY & LARDNER LLP
777 East Wisconsin Avenue

Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202-5306
(414) 297-5723